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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Description and Location

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Reevaluation for proposed improvements to SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41 (SR 45) in Pasco County, Florida, a distance of about 5.7 miles. The proposed improvements consist of widening the existing two-lane rural roadway to a six-lane divided urban highway to accommodate present and future traffic demands.

SR 52 is an east-west arterial highway in Pasco County beginning at US 19 and terminating at the US 98 Dade City Bypass. The existing SR 52 roadway is a two-lane rural facility with one 12-foot lane in each direction and 12-foot shoulders (4 feet paved) through the project area. Turn lanes have been added at select intersections. The existing right-of-way varies in width with a minimum of 100 feet.

The proposed typical section will provide three 12-foot lanes for each direction of travel separated by a 22-foot median. Five-foot sidewalks and 4-foot bike lanes will be provided on each side of the facility. The total right-of-way width for this typical section is 156 feet.

The SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation project area is located in Sections 3, 4, 10, 11, and 12 of Township 25 South, Range 17 East and Sections 7, 8, 9, 10 of Township 25 South, Range 18 East (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 1.1). The cultural resource assessment survey included 18 proposed pond and floodplain compensation (FPC) areas which range in size from 0.5 to 4.25 acres.

1.2 Purpose

This effort is an update of the archaeological and historical surveys of SR 52 from SR 55 (US 19) to SR 93 (Interstate 75) in Pasco County conducted in 1984 and 1985 by FDOT archaeologist William D. Browning and historic site specialist Melissa Weidenfeld. No cultural resources, including archaeological sites and historic structures, were identified as the result of these surveys. The purpose of the current cultural resource assessment survey was to locate, identify and bound any prehistoric and historic period archaeological sites and historic structures associated with this reevaluation project, and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) according to criteria set forth in 36 CFR Section 60.4. The historical/architectural and archaeological surveys were conducted in July and August 2001. Field surveys were preceded by background research. Such work served to provide an informed set of expectations concerning the kinds of cultural resources which might be anticipated to occur within the project’s Area of Potential Effect (APE), as well as a basis for evaluating any new sites found.
This assessment is intended to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-665), as amended, and the implementing regulations 36 CFR Part 800 (revised 1999), as well as with the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, Florida Statutes. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 12 ("Archaeological and Historical Resources") of the Florida Department of Transportation’s Project Development and Environment Manual (revised January 1999), and the standards contained in "The Historic Preservation Compliance Review Program of the Florida Department of State, Division of Historical Resources" Manual (revised November 1990).
2.0 BACKGROUND RESEARCH

A review of archaeological and historical literature and data pertaining to the project was conducted. The purpose of this research was to ascertain the types of cultural resources known in the project vicinity, their temporal/cultural affiliations, site location information, and other relevant data. This review focused primarily on the cultural resource assessment survey documents previously prepared for the SR 52 improvements projects, including the original archaeological and historic structures surveys (Browning 1985; Wiedenfeld 1984), as well as surveys of proposed pond and wetland mitigation sites (Deming 1993, 1994a, 1994b). In addition, other cultural resource assessment surveys in the vicinity of the SR 52 project were examined. These reports include: An Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Pottberg Site (DRI) (Deming and Almy 1981); A Cultural Resources Survey of the Pottberg Ranch DRI Area (Serenova DRI/ADA) (ACI 1989); An Archaeological and Historical Resource Assessment of the Proposed North Suncoast Expressway (Wharton 1990); and A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Suncoast Parkway Reevaluation Areas (ACI 1996).

Examination of these documents, and a more recent check of the Florida Site File in Tallahassee, indicated that 25 recorded archaeological sites are located within one-half mile (north and south) of SR 52 between Moon Lake Road and US 41. General locations, site types and dates, and references for these sites are provided in Table 2.1. More than half of the known sites are small lithic scatters of unknown temporal/cultural affiliation. Nine sites were evidenced by a single artifact, usually a waste flake. By current standards, these isolated finds would not be recorded as sites. Two of the 25 recorded sites contained small amounts of aboriginal pottery in addition to lithics, and thus, are classified as artifact scatters. Overall, most of the archaeological sites in the general project vicinity are characterized by small size and low artifact density. None is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

The original archaeological and historical survey of SR 52 from SR 55 (US 19) to SR 93 (Interstate 75) conducted by FDOT archaeologist William D. Browning and historic sites specialist Melissa Wiedenfeld yielded negative results. In 1993 and 1994, ACI conducted cultural resource assessment surveys of 11 alternative pond sites (Deming 1993) and 11 alternative mitigation sites (Deming 1994a) along SR 52 between Moon Lake Road and Shady Hills Road, as well as 16 proposed pond sites and eight mitigation site alternatives between Shady Hills Road and east of US 41 (Deming 1994b). As a result, nine archaeological sites (8PA425 through 8PA431, 8PA437, and 8PA438) were identified and evaluated within the Moon Lake Road to Shady Hills Road segment; no sites were discovered from Shady Hills Road to east of US 41. The nine archaeological resources include three single artifact sites, five lithic scatters, and one artifact scatter. None was considered significant. No historic structures were identified.
Table 2.1. Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Project Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site No.</th>
<th>Location (T/R/Section)</th>
<th>Site Type/Date</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8PA255</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/10</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA256</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/10</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA268</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/11</td>
<td>Artifact scatter/Late Archaic</td>
<td>ACI 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA314</td>
<td>T25S/R18E/2</td>
<td>Artifact scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Wharton 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA327</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12 and T25S/R18E/7</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Wharton 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA329</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Wharton 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA330</td>
<td>T25S/R18E/7</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>Wharton 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA331</td>
<td>T25S/R18E/7</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>Wharton 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA425</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/3</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA426</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/10</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA427</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/10</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA428</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/11</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA429</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA430</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA431</td>
<td>T25S/R18E/7</td>
<td>Artifact scatter/Woodland</td>
<td>Deming 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA437</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1994a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA438</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/1</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>Deming 1994a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA501</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA502</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA503</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/1</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA504</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/1</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA512</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1996 (Addendum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA513</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Single artifact/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1996 (Addendum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA514</td>
<td>T25S/R17E/12</td>
<td>Lithic scatter/unknown</td>
<td>ACI 1996 (Addendum)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In May 2000, ACI conducted a preliminary assessment of 41 proposed pond and floodplain compensation (FPC) alternative sites. The study methodology entailed a review of the
available data, including Florida Site File (FSF) records, NRHP listings, relevant cultural resource assessment reports (ACI 1989, 1996; Ballo 1988; Deming 1993, 1994a, 1994b; Wharton 1990), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil survey maps (Stankey 1982), U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, as well as a reconnaissance-level historic structures field survey. Background research indicated an absence of NRHP listed, eligible, or potentially eligible archaeological sites and historic structures within or adjacent to these site alternatives. Three non-significant recorded archaeological sites (8PA427, 8PA430, and 8PA429) were identified within or adjacent to pond alternatives 2B, 5A and 5B, respectively.

Based upon the results of background research, all proposed pond and FPC alternative sites were assigned to one of three site potential categories: high, moderate, and low. For prehistoric period archaeological sites, distance to a fresh water source, soil type and drainage, relative elevation, proximity to known sites, and overall integrity (i.e., the degree of modern land alterations) were the key variables used in the classification of each proposed alternative. The potential for historic period archaeological sites was assessed on the basis of documentary research. Fourteen of the total 41 pond and FPC site alternatives were considered to have a high potential for the location of prehistoric sites; 16 others were believed to have a moderate potential. These high and moderate probability areas are largely characterized by relatively better drained, elevated land proximate (within roughly 1000 feet) to a freshwater source. These environmental factors have been demonstrated repeatedly to correlate with prehistoric site location. For example, a 1989 survey of the extensive Potbjerg Ranch (Serenova) tract, located just south of SR 52 and east of Moon Lake Road, resulted in the identification of 17 prehistoric sites (ACI 1989). All were characterized as small, low artifact density sites situated near a freshwater source and on terrain elevated relative to the surrounding area. Similarly, of the seven archaeological sites found during survey of 11 proposed pond areas along SR 52 (Deming 1993), all were located within about 650 feet of a pond or cypress swamp.

The site location probability determinations in the preliminary analysis were used to guide the fieldwork effort for the 18 selected pond and FPC sites reported in this technical memorandum. The most typical aboriginal site types expected to occur were small, low artifact density lithic and/or artifact (lithic-ceramic) scatter sites. Small areas of historic refuse, indicating late 19th/early 20th century campsites, possibly related to the lumber industry were also anticipated. No homesteads, forts, battle sites, military trails, or Native American (Seminole) encampments were expected.
3.0 SURVEY METHODS

3.1 Archaeological Field Methods

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and systematic subsurface shovel testing within the proposed pond and FPC sites. The SR 52 corridor was not archaeologically surveyed. Subsurface testing was systematically carried out at 82 and 164 feet intervals in the high and moderate probability zones, respectively. Shovel tests measured approximately 1.6 feet in diameter by 3.3 feet in depth, and all soil removed was screened through a 0.25 inch mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. Field survey data, including shovel test locations, results, local vegetation, and topographic situation, were recorded on standard ACI fieldwork forms, and shovel test locations were plotted on the aerial maps provided. All shovel test pits were refilled upon completion of data recording.

3.2 Historic Structures

Architectural/historical field survey methodology consisted of a preliminary reconnaissance survey to determine the location of all historic properties believed to be 50 years of age or older, and to ascertain if any of these resources could be potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP. The survey Area of Potential Effect (APE) included the properties proximate to the existing SR 52 right-of-way as well as the land within and adjacent to the 18 proposed pond and FPC sites. Photographs were taken of each identified resource, and information needed for the completion of FSF forms was gathered. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic structure was reviewed to assess style, historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. The estimated construction dates for unrecorded buildings were verified by checking the property records available at the Pasco County Property Appraiser’s Office. Residents or other knowledgeable persons were interviewed to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible association with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

3.3 Laboratory Methods and Curation

All recovered cultural materials were initially cleaned and sorted by artifact class. Lithic (stone) artifacts were divided into tools and debitage on the basis of gross morphology. Tools were measured, examined for raw material type and absence or presence of thermal alteration, and the edges examined with a 10x hand lens for traces of edge damage. Lithic debitage was subjected to a limited technological analysis focused on ascertaining the stages of stone tool production. Flakes and non-flake production debris (i.e., cores, blanks, preforms) were measured and examined for raw material type and absence or presence of
thermal alteration. Flakes were classified into four types: primary decortication, secondary
decortication, non-decortication, and shatter on the basis of the amount of cortex on the
dorsal surface. The aboriginal ceramics were classified into commonly recognized types on
the basis of observable characteristics such as aplastic inclusions and surface treatment.

At the completion of the cultural resource assessment survey, all artifacts and inventory
sheets, as well as field notes and maps, were prepared for permanent storage and curation at
a FDOT-designated repository.

3.4 Unexpected Discoveries

It was anticipated that if human burial sites such as Indian mounds, lost historic and
prehistoric cemeteries, or other unmarked burials or associated artifacts were found, then the
provisions and guidelines set forth in Chapter 872, F.S. (Florida’s Unmarked Burial Law)
would be followed. Although burial mounds have been recorded in the project vicinity, it was
not anticipated that such sites would be found.
4.0 SURVEY RESULTS

4.1 Archaeological

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface inspection and the excavation of a total 110 shovel tests within 14 of the 18 proposed pond and FPC sites (Table 4.1). The majority of shovel tests were excavated systematically at 82 feet intervals in zones of high archaeological probability and at 164 feet intervals in zones of moderate probability. Of the 18 proposed stormwater management sites, five proposed ponds are located between Moon Lake Road and Suncoast Parkway (Table 4.1). A total of 47 shovel tests were excavated within these five proposed ponds. As a result, cultural materials associated with previously recorded sites 8PA425, 8PA427, and 8PA429 were recovered within proposed Pond 1A, 2A, and 5B, respectively (Figure 4.1). No new sites were discovered. Six proposed ponds and seven FPC sites were surveyed between the Suncoast Parkway and US 41. Sixty-three shovel tests were excavated within nine of these total 13 sites (Table 4.1). As a result, one archaeological site (8PA611) was discovered within both Pond 300C and FPC 300-1 (Figure 4.1). This lithic scatter type site is not considered significant. A discussion of the three previously recorded sites and one newly identified site follows, and completed FSF forms are contained in Appendix A.

8PA425: The Key Lime Site was originally discovered by ACI in 1993 during a cultural resource survey of 11 alternative pond sites along SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road (ACI 1993). The site is located in the southwest quarter of Section 3, Township 25 South, Range 17 East, on the south side of SR 52 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). It was identified as the result of systematic subsurface testing. Of the six shovel tests excavated, one produced a single chert non-decortication waste flake from 28 inches below the surface (ACI 1993:2). 8PA425 was estimated to measure approximately 33 feet north/south by 33 feet east/west. It was not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Systematic subsurface testing within proposed Pond 1A yielded additional evidence of this site to the west of the original site boundaries. Of the four shovel tests excavated, one produced a single non-decortication, non-thermally altered waste flake. This artifact was recovered from approximately 24 inches below surface. No surface cultural materials were observed. Since this single artifact find adds no new information about this previously recorded site, an updated FSF form was not prepared. A copy of the original site file form is contained in Appendix A.
Figure 4.1b. Location of Previously and Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).
Table 4.1. A Summary of Archaeological Testing Results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POND/SPEC SITE</th>
<th>SIZE (ACRES)</th>
<th>LOCATION LR/SECTION</th>
<th>ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL</th>
<th>NO. SHOVEL TESTS</th>
<th>RESULTS/COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOON LAKE ROAD TO SUNCOAST PARKWAY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 1A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25S/17E/3</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>One positive ST. 8PA425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 2A</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>25S/17E/10</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Three positive STs. 8PA427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 3A</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>25S/17E/10</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 4A</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>25S/17E/11</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 5B</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>25S/17E/12</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Three positive STs. 8PA429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUNCOAST PARKWAY TO U.S. 41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 200B</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>25S/18E/7</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC 200-1</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>25S/18E/7</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 300C</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>25S/18E/7</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Two positive STs. 8PA611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC 300-1</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>25S/18E/7</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Two positive STs. 8PA611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC 300-2A</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>25S/18E/ADD</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC 300-2B</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>25S/18E/ADD</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 400A</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>25S/18E/8</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC 400-2</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>25S/18E/8</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 500C</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>25S/18E/9</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 600A</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>25S/18E/9</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC 600-1</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>25S/18E/9</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 700C</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>25S/18E/10</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC 700-3</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>25S/18E/10</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8PA427: The Preston Site was originally discovered by ACI in 1993 during a cultural resource survey of 11 alternative pond sites along SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road (ACI 1993). The site is located in the northwest quarter of Section 10, Township 25 South, Range 17 East, on the south side of S.R. 52 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). Of the six shovel tests excavated, one produced a single chert secondary decortication flake from 3.3 feet below surface (ACI 1993:3). 8PA427 was
estimated to measure approximately 33 feet north/south by 33 feet east/west. It was not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Systematic subsurface testing within proposed Pond 2A yielded additional evidence of this site due south of the original site boundaries. Of the total 10 shovel tests excavated, three yielded a total of three non-decortication waste flakes and one piece of shatter from between 4 and 40 inches below surface. Three pieces of debitage were of thermally altered chert. No surface cultural materials were observed. With the exception of a slight enlargement of site boundaries, no new information about this previously recorded site was derived. Therefore, an updated FSF form was not prepared. A copy of the original site file form is contained in Appendix A.

8PA429: The Flaming Arrow Site was originally discovered by ACI in 1993 during a cultural resource survey of 11 alternative pond sites along SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to west of Shady Hills Road (ACI 1993). It is located in the northwest quarter of Section 12, Township 25 South, Range 17 East, on the north side of SR 52 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). Of the 28 shovel tests excavated, 10 produced a total of 15 coral and chert waste flakes from depths of 20 to 36 inches below surface (ACI 1993:3). 8PA429 was estimated to measure approximately 200 feet north/south by 360 feet east/west. It was not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Systematic subsurface testing within proposed Pond 5B yielded additional evidence of this site due west of the original site boundaries. Of the 17 shovel tests excavated, three produced a total of five thermally altered, non-decortication waste flakes of both chert and coral. These artifacts were recovered from between 16 and 32 inches below surface. One large chert flake was recovered from the surface. Although the boundaries of this site have been expanded slightly, no new information about site use or temporal/cultural affiliation was derived. Thus, an updated FSF form for 8PA429 was not prepared. A copy of the original form is contained in Appendix A.

8PA611: The Fivay Pond Site is located in portions of proposed FPC 300-1 and Pond 300C in the northeast quarter of Section 7, Township 25 South, Range 18 (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988) (Figure 4.1). It is situated on a small hill and hill slope directly east of the Pithlachascotee River. Elevation of the site area ranges from 60 to 65 feet above mean sea level. The local soil is Narcoossee fine sand, a somewhat poorly drained type which supports a natural vegetation of mixed oaks and pines (Stankey 1982:33). The site area is presently undeveloped and crossed by a transmission line corridor which marks the dividing line between proposed FPC 300-1 and Pond 300C.

This site was discovered as the result of systematic subsurface testing at a 164 feet interval, supplemented by testing at an 82 feet interval. Of the 32 shovel tests excavated (Figure 4.2), four produced a total of four waste flakes of chert and coral plus a biface fragment (mid-section) of non-thermally altered chert. These cultural materials were recovered from
Figure 4.2 Location of Shovel Tests within the Proposed Pond 300C and FPC 300-1, With Estimated Boundaries of Newly Recorded 8PA611.
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between 16 and 40 inches below surface in successive zones of light gray and light brown colored fine sand. No surface cultural materials were found, nor were any subsurface features uncovered.

On the basis of subsurface testing, 8PA611 is estimated to measure approximately 200 feet north/south by 400 feet east/west. This site probably represents the scene of short-term, limited aboriginal activity associated with the procurement of locally available resources. The lack of diagnostic artifacts precludes a determination of cultural affiliation or temporal placement. While the location of the site is of interest to regional settlement pattern analyses, given the limited artifact density and diversity and lack of cultural features, the research potential of the site is considered low. Therefore, the Fivay Pond Site does not appear to be potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

4.2 Historic Structures

As a result of field survey, six historic resources were identified within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation project (Figure 4.3; Table 4.2); none is associated with any proposed pond or FPC area. All are Frame Vernacular style residences constructed between 1925 and 1950. Descriptions of the newly recorded historic structures follow, and completed FSF forms are contained in Appendix A.

Table 4.2. Summary of Newly Recorded Historic Structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FSF NO</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>STYLE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NRHP ELIGIBILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8PA1348</td>
<td>13404 State Road 52</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1945</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA1349</td>
<td>17750 State Road 52</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1950</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA1350</td>
<td>18045 State Road 52</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1927</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA1351</td>
<td>18115 State Road 52</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1940</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA1352</td>
<td>18136 State Road 52</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1950</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA1353</td>
<td>18340 State Road 52</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>ca. 1925</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8PA1348: This irregularly-shaped Frame Vernacular residence located at 13404 SR 52 was constructed ca. 1945. The one-story building has a concrete pier foundation, a gable roof, an interior brick chimney, and an entrance porch on the north elevation. Decorative features include gable vents and exposed rafter ends. The building was modified ca. 1965 with the application of asbestos shingle siding, the replacement of original windows with three-light awning windows, the addition of a room on the south elevation, the enclosure of the north porch, and the addition of a new porch on the north elevation. Some windows were again replaced ca. 1975 with 2/2 single-hung sash. A ca. 1945 barn is situated east of the residence.
Figure 4.3a. Location of Newly Recorded Historic Structures (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).
Figure 4.3b. Location of Newly Recorded Historic Structures (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).
Extensive non-historic and unsympathetic alterations have diminished the architectural integrity of this typical Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. Thus, 8PA1348 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

8PA1349: Located at 17750 SR 52, this one-story Frame Vernacular residence was constructed ca. 1950. The irregularly-shaped building features a concrete block continuous and pier foundation, plywood siding, a clipped gable roof, and a porch on the north elevation. A room was added on the south elevation ca. 1970. Other alterations include the application of plywood siding, the replacement of original windows with 2/2 single-hung sash, and the addition of a room with an exterior masonry chimney on the east elevation. A ca. 1950 garage/shed is situated south of the building. The limited data available did not indicate that this residence is historically significant. Furthermore, extensive alterations have negatively impacted the integrity of this typical Frame Vernacular building. Therefore, 8PA1349 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

8PA1350: Constructed ca. 1927, the residence at 18045 SR 52 is a one-story, irregularly-shaped Frame Vernacular building. The structure features a concrete pier foundation, drop siding, a gable roof, and gable vents. A large addition was added on the east elevation of the original building ca. 1965. The addition has a porch on the south facade and jalousie windows. Subsequently, a room and porch were added on the north elevation ca. 1975 and original windows were replaced with 2/2 single-hung sash ca. 1975. A ca. 1927 shed is located north of the residence. Alterations, including the large addition on the east elevation, have diminished the integrity of this typical 1920s era Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. As a result, 8PA1350 does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

8PA1351: The building at 18115 SR 52 is a Frame Vernacular residence constructed ca. 1940. The one-story rectangular building has a concrete pier foundation, drop siding, a gable roof, and jalousie windows. Decorative features of this simple residence include gable vents and exposed rafter ends. Alterations include the replacement of original windows with jalousie windows ca. 1960. This building is typical of Frame Vernacular construction found throughout Pasco County. Limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Consequently, 8PA1351 does not appear NRHP eligible.

8PA1352: Located at 18136 SR 52, this Frame Vernacular residence was constructed ca. 1950. The one-story irregularly-shaped building has a continuous concrete foundation, asbestos shingle siding, a hip roof and exposed rafter ends. Windows are two-light awning, 1/1 single-hung sash (with imitation muntins giving the appearance of 8/8), and 2/2 single-hung sash. The east portion of the building is a one-car garage. Alterations include the replacement of windows ca. 1970 and again ca. 1980. This building is typical of post-World War II Frame Vernacular construction. In addition, alterations have diminished its
architectural integrity and the limited historical information available did not indicate any significance. Therefore, 8PA1352 does not appear NRHP eligible.

8PA1353: The residence at 18340 SR 52 was constructed in the Frame Vernacular style ca. 1925. Notable features include a concrete pier foundation, drop siding, a gable roof, an interior brick chimney, and 1/1 double-hung sash windows. Alterations include the addition of a carport on the east elevation ca. 1980. A ca. 1940 garage/shed is situated northwest of the residence. This building is typical of 1920s Frame Vernacular architecture. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. Consequently, 8PA1353 does not appear NRHP eligible.
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND SITE EVALUATIONS

All cultural resources identified as a result of this survey were evaluated for their significance as per the criteria of eligibility for listing in the NRHP. A discussion of site evaluations follows.

5.1 Archaeological Sites

The archaeological survey resulted in the identification of three previously recorded archaeological sites (8PA425, 8PA427, and 8PA429) and one newly discovered site (8PA611). The three previously recorded sites are associated with proposed Ponds 1A, 2A, and 5B, and the one newly identified resource is located within a portion of adjacent proposed Pond 300C and FPC 300-1. The four sites each were evidenced by a small amount of lithic debitage. No complete tool forms or temporally diagnostic artifacts were discovered, nor were any buried features or distinctive concentrations of cultural materials found. All four sites are viewed as limited activity campsites which commonly occur in the region. While all have yielded locational information of importance to regional settlement pattern studies, continued investigation at these sites is not believed to have the potential to yield additional data of significance to regional or state prehistory or history. Thus, 8PA425, 8PA427, 8PA429, and 8PA611 are not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

5.2 Historic Structures

The historical resources survey resulted in the recording of six historic structures (8PA1348 through 8PA1353) along the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation corridor. None are associated with any proposed pond or FPC areas. All are private residences constructed in the Frame Vernacular style between circa 1925 and 1950. None is distinguished by its architectural style, nor do available data indicate any significant historical associations. Therefore, sites 8PA1348 through 8PA1353 do not appear to be potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.

In conclusion, the SR 52 improvement project will have no involvement with any archaeological sites or historic structures which are listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.
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Appendix A: Florida Site File Forms
SITE NAME(S) Key Lime
PROJECT NAME SR 52 Pond, Pasco County
OWNERSHIP private-profit private-nonprofit private-individ priv-unspecified city county state federal X unknown
TWP 25S RANGE 17E SECTION 3, ¼ SW, ½-¼ SW, ¼-¼-¼ SW IRREG. SECT. ? Y X N
USGS MAP NAME Pivay Junction Fla, 1954 PP 1988 COUNTY Pasco
NEAREST CITY Port Richey, Fl. IN CURRENT CITY LIMITS? Y X N
[ UTM: ZONE 16 17 EASTING 41314328 18000 NORTING 313143480101010 J
ADDRESS/VICINITY/ROUTE TO SR 52 to Key Lime Drive 250 m. E. of Keylime Drive,
60 m. south of SR 52
NAME OF PUBLIC TRACT (e.g., park)

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRUCTURES OR FEATURES</th>
<th>DENSITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aboriginal boat</td>
<td>X none specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agric/farm bldg</td>
<td>single artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>burial mound</td>
<td>diffuse scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>building remains</td>
<td>dense scatter&gt;2/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cemetery/grave</td>
<td>variable density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dumps/ashpits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>earthworks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>homestead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>midden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mill-specific</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platform mound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>road segment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shell midden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shell mounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shell mounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface features</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shipwreck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subsurface features</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for X:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for X:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply; except use most specific subphases only)

ABORIGINAL Fort Walton Hickory Pond Perico Island Semin: Colonization NONABORIGINAL
Alachua Glades Ia Late Archaic Safety Harbor Semin: 1st War to 2d
Archaic unsp Glades Ib Late Swift Creek St. Augustine Semin: 2d War to 3d
Belle Glade I Glades I unsp Leon-Jefferson St. Johns Ia Semin: 3d War on
Belle Glade II Glades IIa Malabar I St. Johns Ib Seminole-unknown
Belle Glade III Glades IIb Malabar II St. Johns I unsp Swift Creek unsp
Belle Glade IV Glades Iic Manasota St. Johns Ii Transitional
Belle Glade unsp Glades I unsp Middle Archaic St. Johns Iib Weedon Island I
Cades Pond Glades IIIa Mount Taylor St. Johns Ic Weedon Island II
Depot Glades IIb Norwood St. Johns Ib unsp Amer. Territorial
Early Archaic Glades IIc Orange St. Johns unspecified X prehistoric nonceramic
Early Swift Creek Glades I unsp Paleo-Indian Santa Rosa prehistoric ceramic
Englewood Glades unspecified Pomacola Santa Rosa-Swift Crk prehistoric unsp
OTHER (Less common phases are not checkedlist. For historic sites also give specific dates if known)

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE
Potentially elig. for local designation? yes X no insuff.info
Individually elig. for Nat. Register? yes X no insuff.info
Potential contributor to NR district? yes X no insuff.info
Local Designation Category

EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification)
This single artifact site is not considered to have the potential to yield information of significance to regional prehistory.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SITE No further work recommended.
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM  
Division of Historical Resources, Florida Department of State

Site #8 PA425

FIELD METHODS (Check one or more methods for detection and or boundaries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE DETECTION</th>
<th>SITE BOUNDARIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>no field check</em></td>
<td><em>bounds unknown</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>literature search</td>
<td>remote sensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>informant report</td>
<td>auger-size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remote sensing</td>
<td>unscreened shovel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size 6 TP's, 50 x 50 x 100 cm.; 25 m. intervals; 1/4" screen

SITE DESCRIPTION
EXTENT Size (m³) 100  Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit: 70 cmbs./0-40 medium gray, 40-70 light gray, 70-80 black sand (saturated)

TEMPORAL INTERPRETATION Components: x single  _ prob single  _ prob multiple  _ multiple  _ uncertain

Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations.

INTEGRITY Overall disturbance: _ none seen  _ minor  _ substantial  _ major  _ redeposited  _ destroyed-document

Disturbances/threats/protective measures: Proposed Retention Pond

AREA COLLECTED: _ m² Surface: #units_, total area: _ m². Excavation: _units_

ARTIFACTS
TOTAL ARTIFACTS # 1 (C)ount or (E)stimate?  C  Surface # 0 Subsurface # 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLLECTION STRATEGY</th>
<th>ARTIFACT/FEATURE CATEGORIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>unknown</em>  _unspeced</td>
<td><em>dumb</em>  <em>nonlocal-erotic</em>  _bone-unspecif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>selective</em>  <em>lithics, aborig'1</em></td>
<td><em>brick/hldg matl</em>  <em>metal, nonprecious</em>  <em>unworked shell</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>uncollected</em>  <em>ceramic-aborig'1</em></td>
<td><em>glass</em>  <em>bone-human</em>  <em>worked shell</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>controlled</em>  <em>ceramic-nonabo</em></td>
<td><em>precious metal/coin</em>  <em>bone-animal</em>  <em>subsurf feats</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other (Strategy, Categories)___

DIAGNOSTICS (Type and frequency)
1. N= 5
2. N= 6
3. N= 7
4. N= 8

ENVIRONMENT
Nearest fresh water (incl. relf source) small seasonal pond
Natural community longleaf pine, slash pine, palmetto, gallberry, myrtle
Local vegetation pines, palmettos, beautyberry, grape
Topography level
Present land use vacant
SCS soil series Myakka fine sand
Soil association Smyrna-Sellers-Myakka

FURTHER INFORMATION
INFORMANT(S): Name/Addr./Phone__

LOCATION & FILE NOS. (Field notes, artifacts/accession nos, photographs/negative nos.)
FDOT, District Seven, Tampa

MANUSCRIPTS OR PUBLICATIONS ON THE SITE (if unpub., give FSF file # or location)
Technical memo. to Mr. Ron Facion, PBS &J, Tampa dated Nov. 26, 1993 from J. Domini
ACT#: SR 52 - Eleven Alternative Pond Sites
RECORD(S): Name/Addr./Phone Ilea Schrock/ACT, P.O.Box 51U3, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103 (813) 925-990

LARGE SCALE MAP: At 1"=200' or larger scale, show: site boundaries, scale, North arrow, datum, testcollection units, landmarks.
NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION/CONTINUATIONS: Attach additional sheets with detailed information or with continuations.

REQUIRED: USGS MAP OR COPY WITH SITE LOCATION, EXTENT MARKED
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA SITE FILE
Version 2.0 7/92

Site #8 PA427
Recorder #
Field Date 11/17/93
Form Date 11/22/93

SITE NAME(S)  Preston
PROJECT NAME  SR 52 Pond, Pasco County
OWNERSHIP  private-profit
TWP  25S RANGE 17E SECTION 10, ¼ NW, ¾-¼ NE, ¼-¼-¼ NE
USGS MAP NAME  Fairview Junction 7.5 min. 1954
NEAREST CITY  Port Richey
COUNTY  Pasco
IN CURRENT CITY LIMITS?  Y X N
ADDRESS/VICINITY OF/ROUTE TO  Fast to 33404 SR 52, Carol Preston residence

NAME OF PUBLIC TRACT (e.g., park)

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others in at bottom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SETTING</th>
<th>STRUCTURES OR FEATURES</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>DENSITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>land site</td>
<td>aboriginal boat</td>
<td>fort</td>
<td>road segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>agric/farm bldg</td>
<td>midden</td>
<td>shell midden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>burial mound</td>
<td>mill unspecified</td>
<td>shell mound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>building remains</td>
<td>mission</td>
<td>shipwreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>cemetery/grave</td>
<td>mound unspecified</td>
<td>subsurface features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>dump/refuse</td>
<td>plantation</td>
<td>surface scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>earthworks</td>
<td>platform mound</td>
<td>well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply, except use most specific subphases only)

ABORIGINAL  Fort Walton  Hickory Pond  Perico Island  Semin Colonization  NONABORIGINAL  1st Spanish 1513-99
| Alachua | Glades 1a | Late Archaic | Safety Harbor | 1st Spanish 1600-99 |
| - | Glades 1b | Late Swift Creek | St. Augustine | 1st Spanish 1700-1763 |
| - | Glades I | Leon-Jefferson | St. Johns I | Seminole-unspecific |
| - | Glades II | Malabar I | St. Johns II | British 1763-1783 |
| - | Glades III | Malabar II | St. Johns I unsp | Transitional |
| - | Glades IV | Massasota | St. Johns II | 2d Spanish 1783-1821 |
| - | Glades unsp | Middle Archaic | St. Johns II | Amer.Terr 1821-45 |
| - | Glades IIIa | Mount Taylor | St. Johns II | Amer.Civil War 1861-65 |
| - | Glades IIIb | Norwood | St. Johns II unsp | American 19th Century |
| - | Glades IIIc | Orange | St. Johns unspecified | American 20th Century |
| - | Early Swift Creek | Paleo-Indian | Santa Rosa | American unspecified |
| - | Early Archaic | Glades III | prehistoric nonceram | Afro-American |
| - | Englewood | Glades unsp | Penascola | |
| - | Englewood | Glades unsp | Santa Rosa-Swift Crk | |
| OTHER (Less common phases are not checked.) | For historic sites also give specific dates if known. |

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE
Potentially elig. for local designation?  yes x no insuff.info  Local Designation Category
Individually elig. for Nat. Register?  yes x no insuff.info
Potential contributor to NR district?  yes x no insuff.info

EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification)
The single artifact site is not considered to have the potential to yield information of significance to regional prehistory.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SITE  No further work recommended.
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
Division of Historical Resources, Florida Department of State

FIELD METHODS (Check one or more methods for detection and/or boundaries)

- no field check
- exposed ground
- literature search
- posthole digger
- informant report
- auger/sieves
- remote sensing
- unscreened shovel

SITE DETECTION

- screened shovel

SITE BOUNDARIES

- bounds unknown
- remote sensing
- none by recorder
- in situ exposed ground
- literature search
- posthole digger
- informant report
- auger/sieves

Number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size: 6 TP's; 50 x 50 x 100 cm.; 25 m. interval; 1/4" screen.
1 productive TP

SITE DESCRIPTION

EXTENT Size (m^2) 100 Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit 100 cms/0-80 lt. gravish tan, 80-100 lt. whitish tan

TEMPORAL INTERPRETATION

Components: x single _ prob single _ prob multiple _ multiple _ uncertain
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations.

INTEGRITY

Overall disturbance: x none seen _ minor _ substantial _ major _ redeposited _ destroyed/document
Disturbances/threats/protective measures: Proposed retention pond

AREA COLLECTED _ m^2 Surface: #units _ total area _ m^2. Excavation: #units _

ARTIFACTS

TOTAL ARTIFACTS # 1 (C)ount or (E)stimate? C Surface # 0 Subsurface # 1

COLLECTION STRATEGY

- unknown
- unscreened (all artifacts)
- select (some artifacts)
- general (not by subarea)
- controlled (by subarea)

ARTIFACT/FEATURE CATEGORIES

- unspecified
- daub
- nonlocal-exotic
- bone-unspecified
- ethno, aborig'l
- brick/bldg matl
- metal, nonprecious
- unworked shell
- ceramic-aborig'l
- glass
- bone-human
- worked shell
- ceramic-nonabo
- precious metal/coil
- bone-animal
- surfact feasts

DIAGNOSTICS (Type and frequency)

1 N= 5 N=
2 N= 6 N=
3 N= 7 N=
4 N= 8 N=
5 N= 9 N=

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water (no, refer source): pond
Natural community, slash pine, longleaf pine, saw palmetto, running oak
Local vegetation: palmetto, pine, scrub oak
Topography: level
Elevation: 40 m/ft

FURTHER INFORMATION

INFORMANT(S); Name/Addr./Phone _

LOCATION & FILE NOS. (Field notes, artifacts/accession nos, photographs/negative nos.)

FDT, District Seven, Tampa

MANUSCRIPTS OR PUBLICATIONS ON THE SITE (if unpub., give FSF file # or location)

Technical memo to Mr. Ron Pascin, PBS&J, Tampa dated Nov. 26, 1993 from J. Deming

RECORDER(S); Name/Addr./Phone _
Lea Schrock/ACI P O Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103 (81)

Affiliation or FAS Chapter: Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

LARGE SCALE MAP: At 1"=200' or larger scale, show: site boundaries, scale, North arrow, datum, test/collection units, landmarks.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION/CONTINUATIONS: Attach additional sheets with detailed information or with continuations.

REQUIRED: USGS MAP OR COPY WITH SITE LOCATION, EXTENT MARKED.
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA SITE FILE
Version 2.0 7/93

Site #8 PA429
Recorder #
Field Date 11/18/93
Form Date 11/20/93

SITE NAME(S) Flaming Arrow
PROJECT NAME SR 52 Ponds, Pasco County

OWNERSHIP private-profit private-nonprofit private-individ x priv-unspecified city county state federal unknown
TWP 25S RANGE 17E SECTION 12 1/4 NW, 1/4-1/4 NW, 1/4-1/4 NE IRREG. SECT. ? y x
USGS MAP NAME Fivay Junction 1954
COUNTY Pasco
NEAREST CITY Port Richey, Florida IN CURRENT CITY LIMITS? y x
[ UTM: ZONE 16 17 EASTING [3 4 6 5 6 0 0] NORTHING [3 4 6 6 1 8 0 9 7]
ADDRESS/VICINITY OF ROUTE TO SR 52 east to Potter's Blvd. Turn left and enter
Aripeka: Archery Range.

NAME OF PUBLIC TRACT (e.g., park)

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed, write others in at bottom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>DENSITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X none specified</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>campsite</td>
<td>single artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extractive site</td>
<td>x diffuse scatter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>habitation/homestead</td>
<td>dense scatter&gt;2/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>farmstead</td>
<td>variable density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>village/town</td>
<td>quarry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HISTORIC CONTEXTS (Check all that apply, except use most specific subphases only)

ABORIGINAL
- Alachua
- Archaic unspec
- Belle Glade I
- Belle Glade II
- Belle Glade III
- Belle Glade IV
- Cades Pond
- Deptford
- Early Archaic
- Early Swift Creek
- Englewood

NONABORIGINAL
- Fort Walton
- Hickory Pond
- Pasco Island
- Semin: Colonization
- Semi: 1st War to 2d
- Semi: 2d War to 3d
- 1st Spanish 1513-99
- 1st Spanish 1600-99
- 1st Spanish 1700-1763
- 1st Spanish unassigned
- British 1763-1783
- 2d Spanish 1783-1821
- Amer. Territorial 1821-45
- Amer. Civil War 1861-65
- American 19th Century
- American 20th Century
- American unassigned
- Afro-American

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE
Potentially elig. for local designation? yes x no insuff.info
Individually elig. for Nat. Register? yes x no insuff.info
Potential contributor to NR district? yes x no insuff.info

EXPLANATION OF EVALUATION (Required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification)
Site is a commonly occurring type for the region. Given the low artifact density and variability, it is not considered to be among the best examples of its type.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SITE No further work recommended.
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 2.2 3/97
Consult Guide to Archaelogical Site Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) Fivay Ponds Site
Project Name SR 52 P&IE Study Reevaluation, Pasco County
Ownership: ☐ private-profit ☐ private-nonprofit ☐ private-individ. ☐ private-unknown
☐ city ☐ county ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ foreign ☐ Native Amer. ☐ unknown
USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date Fivay Junction 1954, PR 1988
County Pasco
Township 25S Range 18E Section 07 ☐ Check if Irregular Section; Qtr. Section (check all that apply): ☑ NE ☑ NW ☑ SE ☑ SW
Landgrant Tax Parcel # (s)
City/Town (if within 3 mi.) Fivay Junction
In Current City Limits: ☑ y ☑ n ☑ unknown
UTM: Zone 18 ☑ X 17 Easting 349480 Northing 3134560
Address/ Vicinity of Route North side of SR 52, due east of Pilthachocotee River. About 2 miles east of US 41.

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

TYPE OF SITE (Check all choices that apply; if needed, write others in at bottom)
☐ Land: terrestrial ☑ Cave/Sinkhole: subterranean ☑ Waterland: palustrine
☐ terrestrial ☑ aquatic ☑ intermittently flooded ☑ usually flooded
☐ usually dry

SETTING
☐ Lake/Pond: lacustrine ☑ River/Stream/Creek: riverine
☐ tidal: estuarine ☑ Saltwater: marine
☐ "high energy" marine ☑ "low energy" marine
☐ Other

STRUCTURES - OR - FEATURES
☐ aboriginal boat ☑ fort
☐ agriculf building ☑ midden
☐ burial mound ☑ mill unspecified
☐ building remains ☑ mission
☐ cemetery/grave ☑ mound unspecified
☐ dump/refuse ☑ plantation
☐ earthworks ☑ platform mound ☑ well
☐ Other

FUNCTION
☐ none specified ☑ campsites
☐ extractive site ☑ habitat (prehistoric)
☐ homestead (historic) ☑ farmstead
☐ village (prehistoric) ☑ town (historic)
☐ quarry

HISTORIC CONTENTS: (Check all that apply; use more specific subcategories e.g., '□ St. Augustine' ☑ Florida Indian only; don't check blank box)

Aboriginal
☐ Alachua ☑ Englewood ☑ Glades unspecified ☑ St. Augustine
☐ Archaic, Early ☑ Fort Walton ☑ Hickory Pond ☑ St. Johns Ia
☐ Archaic, Middle ☑ Glades Ia ☑ Leon-Jefferson ☑ St. Johns Ib
☐ Archaic, Late ☑ Glades Ib ☑ Malabar I ☑ St. Johns I unspecified
☐ Archaic unspecified ☑ Glades Ila ☑ Manasota ☑ St. Johns Ib
☐ Bella Glade I ☑ Glades Ibc ☑ Mount Taylor ☑ St. Johns Ic
☐ Bella Glade II ☑ Glades IIc ☑ Norwood ☑ St. Johns I unspecified
☐ Bella Glade III ☑ Glades IIa ☑ Orange ☑ St. Johns unspecified
☐ Bella Glade IV ☑ Glades IIIa ☑ Palaoindian ☑ Santa Rosa
☐ Bella Glade unspecified ☑ Glades IIIb ☑ Palisical ☑ Santa Rosa-Swift Creek
☐ Cades Pond ☑ Glades IIIc ☑ Perico Island ☑ Seminole: Colonization
☐ Deptford ☑ Glades III unspecified ☑ Safety Harbor ☑ Seminole: 2d War to 3d
☐ Other

Nonaboriginal
☐ Alacolla: First Spanish 1513-99
☐ Alacolla: First Spanish 1600-99
☐ Alacolla: First Spanish 1700-1763
☐ Alacolla: First Spanish unspecified
☐ Alacolla: British 1763-1783
☐ Alacolla: Spanish 1833-1821
☐ Alacolla: American Territorial 1821-45
☐ Alacolla: American Civil War 1861-65
☐ Alacolla: American 19th Century
☐ Alacolla: American 20th Century
☐ Other

*Consult Guide to Archaelogical Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields" at the Site File).

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE
Potentially eligible for a local register? ☑ yes: name of register at right ☐ no ☑ insufficient info
Name of local register if eligible:
Individually eligible for National Register? ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ insufficient info
Potential contributor to NR district? ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ insufficient info
Explanation of Evaluation: (required if evaluated; limit to 3 lines; attach full justification) Sparse and common artifact assemblage. No diagnostic cultural materials.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action: None

DHR USE ONLY
NR DATE
KEEPER NR ELIGIBILITY ☑ yes ☐ no
SHPO NR ELIGIBILITY ☑ yes ☐ no
Potentially eligible ☑ insufficient info
Date
DELIST DATE:
LOCAL DESIGNATION:
(See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)
National Register Criteria for Evaluation: ☑ a ☑ b ☑ c ☑ d ☑ e
(See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)
SITE DETECTION*    SITE BOUNDARIES*

- no field check    - exposed ground    - screened shovel    - bounds unknown
- literature search - posthole digger    - auger-size:    - remote sensing
- informant report    - auger-size:    - informan report    - unscreened shovel
- remote sensing    - informan report    - auger-size:    - estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan)    Total 32 Shovel Tests within two adjacent pond areas. N/S transacts with testing at 23 and 50m intervals. 0.5 diameter X 1 m deep; 1/4" mesh screen. Four positive.

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent Size (m²) 7200    Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit 40/100cm/0-30 light gray sand, 30-100 light brown sand

Temporal Interpretation* - Components (check one):    - single    - prob single    - prob multiple    - multiple    - uncertain
Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations.    - unknown

Integrity Overall disturbance*:    - none seen    - minor    - substantial    - major    - redeposited    - destroyed-document:    - unknown
Disturbances/threats/proactive measures    - Powerline corridor/Pond development

Surface: area collected [m²]    Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts #    (C)(E)    Surface #    (C)(E)    Subsurface #    (C)(E)    (A) bone-human

COLLECTION SELECTION*

- unknown    - unselective (all artifacts)
- selective (some artifacts)
- mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL*

- uncollected    - general (not by subarea)
- unknown    - controlled (by subarea)
- variable spatial control

Artifact Comments    Four waste flakes of chert and coral; 1 biface fragment

DIAGNOSTICS    (Type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwannee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Cheek-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- bone-animal
- exotic-nonlocal
- bone-human
- glass
- bone-unspecified
- A lithics-aboriginal
- bone-worked
- metal-precious/coin
- brick/building debris
- metal-precious/coin
- ceramic-aboriginal
- shell-worked
- ceramic-nonaboriginal
- shell-worked
- daub
- Others:

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water type* & name (incl. ref source)    Pithlacochos River    Distance (m)/bearing 10w/West
Natural community (PNAI category* or leave blank)
Local vegetation    Oak, pines, saw palmetto, vines
Topography*    Knoll and slope
Min Elevation 18 meters    Max Elevation 20 meters
Present land use    Vacant
SGS soil series    Narcoossee fine sand
Soil association    Smyrna-Sellers-Myakka

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informant(s): Name/Address/Phone/Email None
Describe field & analysis notes, artifacts, photos. For each, give type* (e.g., notes), curating organization*, accession #s, and short description. ACI, Sarasota pending transfer to FDOT District Seven, Tampa

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (Use continuation sheet, give FMS# if relevant) ACI, 2001, CRAS Update Technical Memorandum, State Road 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41, Pasco County

Recorder(s): Name/Addr./Phone/Email Joan Deming c/o ACI/2345 Bee Ridge Rd., Ste 6, Sarasota, FL 34239/941-925-9906/acimainlli@cs.com
Affiliation* or FAS Chapter Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

* Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for preferred descriptions not listed above (data are "coded fields* at the Site File).
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 3.0 11/96

Site #8 8PA1348
Recorder # 1/1
Field Date 4/24/01
Form Date 4/30/01

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 13404 S.R. 52
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four):
☑ building  ☑ structure  ☐ district  ☐ site  ☐ object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (include N,S,E,W,#,St.,Ave.,etc.) 13404 S.R. 52
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Moon Lake Road and Hays Road on S
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction
County Pasco
Subdivision name N/A
Tax Parcel #1(s) 1025170000002000000
Ownership (Please check one):
☐ private-profit  ☑ private-individual  ☐ city  ☐ county  ☐ Native American
☐ private-nonprofit  ☐ private-unspecified  ☐ state  ☐ federal  ☐ foreign  ☐ unknown

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)
Route to (especially if no street address)

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988
Township 25S  Range 17E  Section 10  1/4 section: X NW  ☐ SW  ☐ SE  ☐ NE  ☐ irregular-name:
Landgrant UTM Zone 16 X 17 Easting 343792 Northing 3134943

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular  ☑ exterior Plan* irregular  Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* wood frame
Foundation: Type(s)* pier  Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* asbestos shingle
Roof: Type(s)* gable, shed  Material(s)* composition shingle
- Roof secondary struc. (dormers etc.)*
Chimney: No 1 Material(s)* brick  Location(s)* interior W slope
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 3 light awning, metal, paired & independent; 2/2 SHS, metal, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details)
Porch(es): ☑ open  ☐ closed  ☐ incised  Location(s) north
- Porch roof type(s) shed
- Exterior Ornament exposed rafter ends, gable vents

Interior Plan* unknown
Condition (Please check one): ☑ excellent  ☐ good  ☑ fair  ☐ deteriorated  ☐ ruined
Surroundings (N= None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all) ☐ commercial  ☑ residential  ☐ institutional  A undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No. of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc.)
- ca. 1945 barn on east

Archaeological Remains None observed.
- ☑ Check if Archaeological Form completed

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE:  KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: yes  ☐ no  Date
SHPO NR ELIGIBILITY: yes  ☐ no  Potentially Elig. ☐ insufficient info
DELIST DATE:  LOCAL DESIGNATION:
- Local office
National Register Criteria for Evaluation ☑ a  ☐ b  ☐ c  ☐ d  (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**

**Site # 8 PA1348**

**HISTORY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction date:</th>
<th>Exactly (year)</th>
<th>Approximately 1945 (year)</th>
<th>Earlier than (year)</th>
<th>Later than (year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architect (last name first):</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Builder (last name first)</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moves:</td>
<td>☐ yes</td>
<td>☐ no</td>
<td>☐ unknown</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterations:</td>
<td>☑ yes</td>
<td>☐ no</td>
<td>☐ unknown</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td>☐ yes</td>
<td>☐ no</td>
<td>☐ unknown</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Original Use* (give date ranges) | private residence
| Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) | private residence |
| Present Use* (give date ranges) | private residence |
| Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) | Michael and Paula Gardner (2000); Lord Pichardo & Castro, Inc. (1995) |

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

**RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)**

- ☑ formal archaeological survey
- ☐ informal archaeological inspection
- ☑ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- ☐ tax records/property deeds
- ☑ tax records only
- ☐ interior inspection
- ☐ other methods (specify)
- ☑ past surveys search at FMSF
- ☑ past sites search at FMSF
- ☑ FL Archives (Gray Building)
- ☐ FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)
- ☐ occupant/owner interview
- ☐ neighbor interview
- ☐ local library research
- ☐ non-local library research
- ☐ building permits
- ☐ demolition permits
- ☐ commercial permits
- ☐ occupation permits
- ☐ Sanborn maps
- ☐ subdivision maps
- ☐ plat maps
- ☐ local newspaper files

**SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially eligible for local register?</th>
<th>☐ yes: name register at right</th>
<th>☑ no</th>
<th>insufficient info</th>
<th>Name of local register if eligible:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individually eligible for National Register?</td>
<td>☐ yes</td>
<td>☑ no</td>
<td>insufficient info</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district?</td>
<td>☐ yes</td>
<td>☑ no</td>
<td>insufficient info</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories; e.g. &quot;architecture,&quot; &quot;ethnic heritage,&quot; &quot;community planning &amp; development,&quot; etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Planning and Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanation of Evaluation** (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)

Extended non-historic and unsympathetic alterations have diminished the architectural integrity of this typical Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. Thus, it does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

**DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)**

- Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
- Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 1/1-2 and 2/1

**RECORER**

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman,
Archaeological Consultants, Inc./P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9808/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

**REQUIRED:**

1. USGS 7.5' map with structure pinpointed in red
2. Large scale street or plat map
3. Photo of main facade, prefer B&W, at least 3x5
Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 3.0 11/96
Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site # PA1349
Recorder # 2/2
Field Date 4/24/01
Form Date 4/30/01

Site Name(s) (address if none) 17750 S.R. 52
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41
Multiple Listing [DHR only] 
Survey #
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): X building structure district site object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (include N, S, E, W; #, St, Ave., etc.) 17750 S.R. 52
Cross Streets (nearest/between) SW corner of S.R. 52 and Kent Grove Drive
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction in Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Pasco Tax Parcel #s (082518000009000010 Lot N/A
Subdivision name N/A
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county Native American
private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal foreign
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) Route to (especially if no street address)

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Land grant UTM: Zone 16 X 17 Easting 350835 Northing 3134111
Plat or other map (map's name, location)

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* wood frame Foundation: Type(s)* continuous, pier Material(s)* concrete block
Exterior Fabric(s)* plywood Roof: Type(s)* clipped gable, shed Material(s)* composition shingle
Roof secondary structs. (domers etc.)* Chimney: No 1 Material(s)* masonry Location(s)* exterior east wall
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 2/2 SHS, metal, independent
Main Entrance (stylistic details) Porches: #open 1 #closed #incised Location(s) north
Porch roof type(s) shed Exterior Ornament/gable vents

Interior Plan* unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent good X fair deteriorated run down
Surroundings (H=Hill, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearby all): commercial S residential institutional S undeveloped
Ancillary Features (X = type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)
ca. 1950 garage/shed on south

Archaeological Remains None observed. X Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY***************OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS***************DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE KEEP NR ELIGIBILITY □ y □ n Date
SHPO NR ELIGIBILITY □ y □ n □ potentially elig. □ insufficient info Date
DELIST DATE LOCAL DESIGNATION:
Local office Date
National Register Criteria for Evaluation □ a □ b □ c □ d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions

Site # 8 PA1349

HISTORY

Construction date: Exactly _______ (year) Approximately 1950 (year) Earlier than _______ (year) Later than _______ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown

Moves: □ yes X no □ unknown Date _______ Original address

Alterations: X yes □ no □ unknown Date ca.1985 Nature* repl. windows & siding

Additions: X yes □ no □ unknown Date ca.1970-85 Nature* room addit on S; room addit on E

Original Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Ford and Geraldine Swafford (1980)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply, if needed write others at bottom)

□ formal archaeological survey □ informal archaeological inspection □ past surveys search at FMSF □ local library research □ Sanborn maps

□ Public Lands Survey (DEP) □ tax records/property deeds □ past sites search at FMSF □ non-local library research □ subdivision maps

□ tax records only □ interior inspection □ FL Archives (Gray Building) □ building permits □ plat maps

□ other methods (specify) □ occupant/owner interview □ FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) □ demolition permits □ local newspaper files

□ neighbor interview

SURVEYOR’S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? □ yes: name register at right □ no □ insufficient info Name of local register if eligible:

Individually eligible for National Register? □ yes □ no □ insufficient info

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? □ yes □ no □ insufficient info

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories; e.g., "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.) Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet) The limited data available did not indicate that this residence is historically significant. Furthermore, extensive alterations have negatively impacted the integrity of this typical Frame Vernacular building. Therefore, this building does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser’s Office; Horgan, James J., et al. The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992.

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 22-5

RECORER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman, Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPSERVER.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQURED: (1) USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
(2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
(3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8PA1349

PHOTOGRAPH

S.R. 52

17750 S. R. 52

garage/shed
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 3.0 11/86
Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18045 S.R. 52  Multiple Listing [DHR only]
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41 Survey #
National Register Category (Please check one; consult with Site Files before using last four):
X building  structure  district  site  object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W:#,St,Ave.,etc.) 18045 S.R. 52
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Pierce Lake Road and Davis Lane on north
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction In Current City Limits: y n unknown
County Pasco Tax Parcel #(s) 092518000001700000
Subdivision name N/A Block N/A Lot N/A
Ownership (Please check one): private-profit private-individual city county
private-nonprofit private-unspecified state federal
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) foreign unknown
Route to (especially if no street address)

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section: X NW  □ SW  □ SE  □ NE  □ Irregular-name:
Landgrant UTM: Zone 16  17 Easting 351313  Northing 3134130
Plat or other map (map's name, location)

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* irregular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* wood frame
Foundation: Type(s)* pier Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* drop siding
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* 5V crimp
  Roof secondary struc. (dormers, etc.)*
  Chimney: No 0 Material(s)* Location(s)*
  Windows (types, materials, etc.)* jalousie, metal, paired; 2/2 SHS, metal, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details)
Porch(es): #open 2 #closed 0 #incised Location(s) south, north
Porch roof type(s) shed, shed
Exterior Ornament gable vents

Interior Plan* unknown
Condition (Please check one): excellent □ good X fair □ deteriorated □ ruinous
Surroundings (N=North, S=South, M=Most, A=All/nearby all): commercial S residential institutional
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)
  ca. 1927 shed on north

Archaeological Remains None observed. □ Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY***************OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS***************DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: yes □ no
SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: yes □ no □ potentially elig □ insufficient info
DELIST DATE LOCAL DESIGNATION:
  Local office

National Register Criteria for Evaluation a □ b □ c □ d □ (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # PA1350

Construction date:

- Exactly ___ (year)
- Approximately 1927 (year)
- Earlier than ___ (year)
- Later than ___ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown
Builder (last name first): unknown

Moves:
- ☐ yes
- ☑ no
- ☐ unknown

Original address:  

Date: Original address

Alterations:
- ☐ yes
- ☑ no

Nature* repl windows

Date: ca. 1975

Additions:
- ☐ yes
- ☑ no

Nature* room add on E; room and porch add on N

Date: ca. 1965-75

Original Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.): Daniel and Clara Giddens (1961)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

☐ formal archaeological survey
☐ informal archaeological inspection
☒ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
☐ tax records/property deeds
☒ tax records only
☐ interior inspection
☐ other methods (specify)

☒ past surveys search at FMSF
☒ past sites search at FMSF
☐ FL Archives (Gray Building)
☐ FL Photo Archives (Gray Building)
☐ occupant/owner interview
☐ neighbor interview

Local library research
Non-local library research
Building permits
Demolition permits
Commercial permits
Occupation permits
Sanborn maps
Subdivision maps
Plat maps
Local newspaper files

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register?
- ☐ yes: name register at right
- ☑ no: insufficient info

Name of local register if eligible:

Individually eligible for National Register?
- ☐ yes
- ☑ no: insufficient info

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district?
- ☐ yes
- ☑ no: insufficient info

Area(s) of Historical Significance

(See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories; e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)

Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)

Alterations, including a large addition on the east elevation, have diminished the integrity of this typical 1920s era Frame Vernacular residence. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance.

As a result, this property does not appear to meet NRHP eligibility criteria.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant): Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan, James J., et al, The Historic Places of Pasco County, Pasco County Historical Preservation Committee, 1992

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
Location of negatives & negative numbers: Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 2/11-12

RECORDE

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation: Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman, Archaeological Consultants, Inc./P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9909/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED:
1. USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
2. LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
3. PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 3.0 11/96
Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.
Site #8 PA1351
Recorder # 2/9
Field Date 4/24/01
Form Date 4/30/01

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18115 S.R. 52
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41
National Register Category (Please check one; consult with Site File before using last four): X building ☐ structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object
Multiple Listing [DHR only] ___
Survey # ___

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION
Address (Include N.S.E.W.;#;St., Ave., etc.) 18115 S.R. 52
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Pierce Lake Road and Davis Lane on north
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction
County Pasco
Subdivision name N/A
Ownership (Please check one): ☐ private-profit ☑ private-individual ☐ city ☐ county ☐ Native American
☐ private-nonprofit ☐ private-unspecified ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ foreign ☐ unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) ___
Route to (especially if no street address) ___

MAPPING
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section: ☑ NW ☐ SW ☐ SE ☐ NE ☐ Irregular-name:
Landgrant __________ UTM: Zone ☑ 16 ☑ 17 Easting 351379 Northing 3134115
Plat or other map (map's name, location) ___

DESCRIPTION
Style* Frame Vernacular
Structural System(s)* wood frame
Foundation: Type(s)* pier
Exterior Fabric(s)* drop siding
Roof: Type(s)* gable
Roof secondary struc. (dormers etc.)*
Chimney: No.0 Material(s)* Location(s)*
Windows (types, materials, etc.)*
Main Entrance (stylistic details)___ Porches: #open #closed #incised Location(s)
Porch roof type(s)___
Exterior Ornament gable vents, exposed rafter ends

Interior Plan* unknown
Condition (Please check one): ☑ excellent ☐ good ☑ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruined
Surroundings (N=none, S=some, M=most, A=All/neary all) __________
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)
ca. 1980 shed on north

Archaeological Remains None observed.
Check if Archaeological Form completed ___
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY
OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS

Date of Evaluation ___

Date of Determination ___

Date of Action ___

National Register Criteria for Evaluation (specify) ___

Signatures (including title and date)

---

HR5E00309-96 Florida Master Site File/Division of Historical Resources/R A. Gray Building/500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250
Phone (904) 431-2298/Fax (904) 521-0372/email: me2al@doe.state.fl.us
Computer File P://FS/DOCS/FORMSSSS_FORM_V3.0DOC
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site # 8PA1351

Construction date: Exactly _____ (year) Approximately 1940 (year) Earlier than _____ (year) Later than _____ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknown

Moves: ☐ yes ☑ no ☐ unknown Date Original address

Alterations: ☑ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown Date ca.1960 Nature* repl windows

Additions: ☑ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown Date Nature*

Original Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Daniel and Clara Giddens (1977)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

☐ formal archaeological survey ☑ past surveys search at FMSF ☑ local library research ☑ Sanborn maps

☐ informal archaeological inspection ☑ past sites search at FMSF ☑ non-local library research ☑ subdivision maps

☑ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☑ FL Archives (Gray Building) ☑ building permits ☑ plat maps

☒ tax records/property deeds ☑ FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) ☑ demolition permits ☑ local newspaper files

☒ tax records only ☑ occupant/owner interview ☑ commercial permits

☒ interior inspection ☑ neighbor interview ☑ occupation permits

☒ other methods (specify) ☑

SURVEYOR’S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? ☑ yes: name register at right ☑ no ☐ insufficient info Name of local register if eligible:

individually eligible for National Register? ☑ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient info

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? ☑ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient info

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 6 for categories: e.g. "architecture," "ethnic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)

Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)

This building is typical of Frame Vernacular construction found throughout Pasco County. Limited research did not reveal any historical significance. Consequently, this property does not appear NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)


Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 29-10

RECORER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman, Archaeological Consultants, Inc./P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9906/(941)925-9767/AHINMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED:

1. USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
2. LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
3. PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5
S.R. 52

18115 S.R. 52

shed
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 3.0 11/96
Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18136 S.R. 52
Multiple Listing [DHR only] □
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four):
□ building □ structure □ district □ site □ object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION
Address (Include N,S,E,W;#;St.;Ave., etc.) 18136 S.R. 52
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Pierce Lake Road and Davis Lane on south
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction
County Pasco
Subdivision name N/A
Ownership (Please check one):
□ private-prof □ private-individual □ city □ county □ Native American
□ private-nonprofit □ private-unspecified □ state □ federal □ foreign □ unknown
Tax Parcel #(s) 092518000025000000
Lot N/A
Route to (especially if no street address)

MAPPING
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9
Landgrant
Plat or other map (map's name, location)

DESCRIPTION
Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* Irregular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* wood frame
Foundation: Type(s)* continuous Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* asbestos shingle
Roof: Type(s)* hip Material(s)* composition shingle
Roof secondary struc. (dormers etc.)*
Chimney: No 0 Material(s)* Location(s)*
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 2 light awning, metal, independent; 1/1 SHS, metal, independent; 2/2 SHS, metal, independent
Main Entrance (stylistic details)
Porches: #open #closed #incised Location(s)
Porch roof type(s)
Exterior Ornament exposed rafter ends

Interior Plan* unknown
Condition (Please check one): □ excellent □ good □ fair □ deteriorated □ ruinous
Surroundings (N= None, S= Some, M= Most, A= All/nearby all) S commercial S residential S institutional
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)

Archaeological Remains None observed.
□ Check if Archaeological Form completed
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY**********OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS**********DHR USE ONLY
NR DATE KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY □ yes □ no Date
SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY □ yes □ no □ potentially elig. □ insufficient info Date
DELIST DATE LOCAL DESIGNATION Date
National Register Criteria for Evaluation □ a □ b □ c □ d (See National Register Bulletin 15, p.2)
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Construction date: Exactly ________ (year) Approximately 1950 (year) Earlier than ________ (year) Later than ________ (year)
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first) unknown
Moves: ☐ yes ☑ no ☐ unknown Date Original address
 Alterations: ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown Date ca.1970-80 Nature* repl windows; repl windows
Additions: ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown Date Nature* __________
Original Use* (give date ranges) private residence
Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) private residence Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) William G. Frawley Estate (1983)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)
☐ formal archaeological survey ☑ past surveys search at FMSF ☐ local library research ☐ Sanborn maps
☐ informal archaeological inspection ☑ past sites search at FMSF ☐ non-local library research ☐ subdivision maps
 ☑ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☑ FL Archives (Gray Building) ☐ building permits ☐ plat maps
 ☐ tax records/property deeds ☑ FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) ☐ demolition permits ☐ local newspaper files
 ☐ tax records only ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ commercial permits
 ☐ interior inspection ☐ neighbor interview ☐ occupation permits
 ☐ other methods (specify) ______________

SURVEYOR'S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? ☐ yes: name register at right ☑ no ☐ insufficient info Name of local register if eligible: __________
Individually eligible for National Register? ☑ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient info
Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? ☑ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient info
Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 16, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture,""ethnic heritage,""community planning & development," etc.)
Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)

This building is typical of post-World War II Frame Vernacular construction. In addition, alterations have diminished
its architectural integrity and the limited historical information available did not indicate any significance. Therefore,
this property does not appear NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)

Bibliographic References (Use Continuation Sheet, give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Pasco County Property Appraiser's Office; Horgan,

Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.
Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 217-B

RECORER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Association Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman,
Archaeological Consultants, Inc./P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/(941)925-9908/(941)925-9767/ACIMAIN@COMPUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
(2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
(3) PHOTO OF MAIN FAÇADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 3.0 11/96

Site #8 PA1353

Recorder # 2/13
Field Date 4/24/01
Form Date 4/30/01

Consult Guide To Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 18340 S.R. 52
Multiple Listing [DHR only]
Survey CRAS of S.R. 52 from Moon Lake Road to U.S. 41
Survey #
National Register Category (Please check one: consult with Site File before using last four): ☑ building ☐ structure ☐ district ☐ site ☐ object

LOCATION & IDENTIFICATION

Address (Include N,S,E,W,#,St,Ave.,etc.) 18340 S.R. 52
Cross Streets (nearest/between) between Davis Lane and U.S. 41 on south
City/Town (within 3 miles) Fivay Junction
County Pasco
Subdivision name N/A
Ownership (Please check one): ☑ private-profit ☐ private-individual ☐ city ☐ county ☐ Native American
Tax Parcel #(s) 0925180000031000000
Lot N/A
Private-nonprofit ☐ private-unspecified ☐ state ☐ federal ☐ foreign ☐ unknown
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)
Route to (especially if no street address)

MAPPING

USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988
Township 25S Range 18E Section 9 1/4 section: ☑ NW ☐ SW ☐ SE ☐ NE ☐ irregular-name:
Landgrant UTM: Zone 16 X 17
Plat or other map (map's name, location)

DESCRIPTION

Style* Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan* rectangular Number of Stories 1
Structural System(s)* wood frame
Foundation: Type(s)* pier Material(s)* concrete
Exterior Fabric(s)* drop siding
Roof: Type(s)* gable Material(s)* 5V crimp
Roof secondary struc., (dormers etc.)*
Chimney: No 1 Material(s)* brick Location(s)* interior north slope
Windows (types, materials, etc.)* 1/1 DHS, wood, independent

Main Entrance (stylistic details)
Porch(es): #open #closed #incised Location(s)
Porch roof type(s)
Exterior Ornament

Interior Plan*unknown
Condition (Please check one): ☑ excellent ☑ good ☐ fair ☐ deteriorated ☐ ruined
Surroundings (N=None, S=Some, M=Most, A=All/nearly all): ☑ commercial ☐ residential ☐ institutional S undeveloped
Ancillary Features (No., type of outbuildings; major landscape features. Use continuation sheet for descriptions of interior, landscaping, etc)
cia. 1940 garage/shed on NW

Archaeological Remains None observed.
*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY ***************OFFICIAL EVALUATIONS************* DHR USE ONLY

NR DATE KEEPER-NR ELIGIBILITY: yes ☑ no Date
SHPO-NR ELIGIBILITY: ☑ yes ☐ no ☐ potentially elig ☐ insufficient info Date
DELIST DATE LOCAL DESIGNATION:
Local office

National Register Criteria for Evaluation: ☑ a ☐ b ☐ c ☐ d (See National Register, Bulletin 15, p.2)
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Construction date: Exactly ______ (year) Approximately 1925 (year) Earlier than ______ (year) Later than ______ (year)

Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown

Moves: ☐ yes ☒ no ☐ unknown Date Original address

Alterations: ☐ yes ☒ no ☐ unknown Date Nature*

Additions: ☒ yes ☐ no ☐ unknown Date ca. 1980 Nature* carport addt. on east

Original Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Intermediate Uses* (give date ranges) private residence

Present Use* (give date ranges) private residence

Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Herbert H. Cox, Jr. (1969)

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (Check all choices that apply; if needed write others at bottom)

☐ formal archaeological survey ☐ informal archaeological inspection ☒ past surveys search at FMSF

☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP) ☐ tax records/property deeds ☐ tax records only ☐ interior inspection ☐ other methods (specify)

☐ FL. Archives (Gray Building) ☐ FL Photo Archives (Gray Building) occupant/owner interview neighbor interview

☐ local library research ☐ non-local library research ☐ building permits ☐ demolition permits ☐ commercial permits

☐ Sanborn maps ☐ subdivision maps ☐ plat maps ☐ local newspaper files ☐ occupation permits

SURVEYOR’S EVALUATION OF SITE (Check one choice on each line)

Potentially eligible for local register? ☐ yes: name register at right ☒ no ☐ insufficient info Name of local register if eligible:

Individually eligible for National Register? ☒ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient info

Potential contributor to Nat. Reg. district? ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ insufficient info

Area(s) of Historical Significance (See National Register Bulletin 15, p. 6 for categories; e.g. "architecture," "historic heritage," "community planning & development," etc.)

Community Planning and Development

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether positive or not; limit to three lines; attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet)

This building is typical of 1920s Frame Vernacular architecture. The limited information available did not indicate any historical significance. Consequently, this building does not appear NRHP eligible.

DOCUMENTATION (Photos, Plans, etc.)


Photographs (required) B&W print(s) at least 3x5, at least one main facade.

Location of negatives & negative numbers Archaeological Consultants, Inc. Roll 2/13-14

RECORER

Name (last name first)/Address/Phone/Fax/Email/Affiliation Hinder, Kimberly and Tesa Norman, Archaeological Consultants, Inc./ P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103/941)925-9905/(941)925-9757/ACIMAIN@COMUSERVE.COM

Remember: Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces above.

REQUIRED: (1) USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE PINPOINTED IN RED
(2) LARGE SCALE STREET OR PLAT MAP
(3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, PREFER B&W, AT LEAST 3x5
Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954 PR 1988

0 0.5 1 mile
Appendix B: Survey Log Sheet
Survey Log Sheet
Florida Master Site File
Version 2.0 9/97
Consult Guide to the Survey Log Sheet for detailed instructions.

Recorder of Log Sheet  Joan Deming

Identification and Bibliographic Information
Survey Project (Name and project phase)  SR 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41 PD&E Study
Reevaluation, Pasco County
Is this a continuation of a previous project?  X No  ☐ Yes Previous survey(s)
Report Title (exactly as on title page)  Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update Technical Memorandum, State Road 52 from Moon Lake Road to US 41 (SR 45) Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study Reevaluation, Pasco County, Florida WPI No. 2562431; FAP No. 1851-108
Report Author(s) (as on title page-individual or corporate)  Joan Deming
Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
Publication Date (month/year)  11/01 Total Number of Pages in Report (Count text, figures, tables, not site forms)  23
Publication Information (If relevant, series and no. In series, publisher, and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of American Antiquity, etc.)  Guide to the Survey Log Sheet, Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
P.O. Box 5103, Sarasota, FL 34277-5103

Supervisor(s) of Fieldwork (whether or not the same as author[s])  Joan Deming
Affiliation of Fieldworkers (organization, city)  Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
Key Words/Phrases (Don't use the county, or common words like archaeology, structure, survey, architecture. Put the most important first. Limit each word or phrase to 25 characters.) SR 52, Ponds

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, or person who is directly paying for fieldwork)
Name Florida Department of Transportation, District Seven
Address/Phone 11201 N. McKinley Drive, Tampa, FL 33612-6456

Mapping
Counties (List each one in which field survey was done-do not abbreviate) Pasco

USGS 1:24,000 Map(s): Names/Dates:  Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988

Remarks (Use supplementary sheet(s) if needed)  Sparse cultural materials associated with three previously recorded and one newly discovered archaeological sites. Six historic structures recorded adjacent to ROW.

Description of Survey Area
Dates for Fieldwork: Start 7/01 End 10/01 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) hectares 35 acres
Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed 19
If Corridor (fill in one for each)  Width meters 156 feet Length kilometers 5.7 miles
Types of Survey (check all that apply)  X archaeological  X architectural  X historical/archival  ☐ underwater  ☐ other:

FLSE06610-97 Florida Master Site File, Division of Historical Resources, Gray Building, 500 South Bronough St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250
Phone 850-487-2298, Suncom 277-2299, Fax 850-921-0372, Email fmsfile@mail.dos.state.fl.us, Web http://www.dos.state.fl.us/dhr/msfl
\VC cf_graydhr\dhrshare\FSPA\DOCS\FORMS\Logsheets.doc 10/03/97 11:07 AM
Survey Log Sheet of the Florida Master Site File

Research and Field Methods

Preliminary Methods (Check as many as apply to the project as a whole. If needed, write others at bottom).
- Florida Archives (Gray Building)
- Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building)
- FMSF site property search
- FMSF survey search
- other (describe)
- library research - (local public)
- library-special collection - (non local)
- Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP)
- local informant(s)
- local property or tax records
- newspaper files
- literature search
- Sanborn Insurance maps
- windshield survey
- aerial photography

Archaeological Methods (Describe the proportion of properties at which method was used by writing in the corresponding letter. Blanks are interpreted as "None.")
- surface collection, controlled
- surface collection, uncontrolled
- shovel test 1/4" screen
- shovel test 1/8" screen
- shovel test 1/16" screen
- other screen shovel test (size: ____________)
- block excavation (at least 2 x 2 m)
- water screen (finest size: ____________)
- posthole tests
- auger (size: ____________)
- coring
- test excavation (at least 1 x 2 m)
- other (describe):
- soil resistivity
- magnetometer
- side scan sonar
- unknown

Historical/Architectural Methods (Describe the proportion of properties at which method was used by writing in the corresponding letter. Blanks are interpreted as "None.")
- building permits
- demolition permits
- neighbor interview
- subdivision maps
- commercial permits
- exposed ground inspected
- occupant interview
- tax records
- interior documentation
- local property records
- occupation permits
- unknown
- other (describe):

Scope/Intensity/Procedures: Background research: archaeological survey of 18 proposed pond and floodplain compensation sites, 110 STs at 25 m and 50 m intervals 50 cm x 1 m deep STs. 1/4 inch mesh screen. Historic structures update survey of the SR 52 corridor. Technical Memorandum preparation.

Survey Results (manual resources recorded):

Site Significance Evaluated? X Yes  □ No  □ No  □ Yes, circle NR-eligible/significant site numbers below.

Site Counts: Previously Recorded Sites 3
Newly Recorded Sites 7
Previously Recorded Site #s (List site #s without "B.") Attach supplementary pages if necessary. PA425, PA427, PA429

Newly Recorded Site #s (Are you sure all are original and not updates? Identify methods used to check for updates, ie., researched the FMSF records). List site #s without "B." Attach supplementary pages if necessary. PA1348, PA1349, PA1350, PA1351, PA1352, PA1353, PA611

Site Form Used: □ SmartForm  □ FMSF Paper Form  □ Approved Custom Form: Attach copies of written approval from FMSF Supervisor and Supervisor-signed form.

ATTACH PLOT OF SURVEY AREA ON PHOTOCOPIES OF USGS 1:24,000 MAP(S)
Figure 1.1a. Location of Proposed Pond and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites within the SR 52 PD&E Study Reevaluation Area (USGS Fivay Junction, Fla. 1954, PR 1988).