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1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) study to determine the engineering and environmental effects of the proposed realignment of SR 52 from east of McKendree Road to east of US 301 within Pasco County, Florida.

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of the PD&E Study is to provide documented environmental and engineering analyses to assist FDOT in reaching a decision on the type, location and conceptual design of the necessary improvements, in order to accommodate future traffic demand in a safe and efficient manner. The PD&E Study also satisfies the requirements of FDOT and follows the process outlined in the FDOT Project Development and Environment Manual. Although no federal involvement has been identified, the proposed project’s PD&E Study process was developed in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and other applicable federal and state regulations.

This PD&E Study documents the need for the new roadway, and presents the procedures utilized to develop and evaluate the improvement alternative. Information relating to the engineering and environmental characteristics essential for development of alternative alignments and analytical decisions was collected. Design criteria were established and preliminary alternative alignments were developed. The comparison of alternative alignments was based on a variety of parameters utilizing a matrix format. This process was utilized to identify the Recommended Alternative that minimizes natural, physical, and socio-economic impacts, while providing the necessary future transportation improvements. The study also solicits input from the community and users of the facility.

Project Description

The realignment of SR 52 is proposed as a new four-lane urban controlled access facility within Pasco County, Florida, that will serve as an additional east-west route in the regional transportation network. The study limits extend from the existing State Road (SR) 52 intersection with McKendree Road easterly to the intersection of Pasadena Road with Clinton Avenue, as shown in Figure 1-1. The roadway will be constructed on new alignment south of the existing SR 52. The existing portion of Clinton Avenue between Pasadena Road and US 301, constructed by others, will also be designated as SR 52, while the existing SR 52 from McKendree Road to US 301 will revert to Pasco County for ownership and maintenance purposes.
Figure 1-1: Project Location Map
The total length of the proposed roadway is approximately 8 miles (mi.). The study area is within the following United States Geological Survey (USGS) 1:24,000 scale quadrangle maps: San Antonio and Dade City. **Table 1-1** lists the Townships, Ranges, and Sections covering the study area. SR 52 is not identified as part of the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS). However, the existing SR 52 and CR 52A are both identified as evacuation routes by the State Emergency Response Term (SERT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 South</td>
<td>20 East</td>
<td>9, 10, 11, 12,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 East</td>
<td>5, 6, 7, 8, 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corridor Analysis**

The Pasco County Engineering Services Department conducted the Clinton Avenue Extension Route Study which established the need for the new roadway and its proposed typical section and alignment. The study included three public workshops, the last of which was held in April 2004. The Clinton Avenue Extension Final Route Study Report (June 2004) documents the traffic, engineering and environmental analysis, public involvement activities, and the selection of a Recommended Alternative. It serves as the basis for this PD&E Study. The design year is 2025.

**1.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS**

Existing SR 52 is primarily a two-lane undivided rural roadway between its intersection with I-75 (SR 93) and US 301 in Dade City, Florida. Currently, there are limited bicycle and pedestrian facilities within the study area.

Traffic analyses documented the need to provide increased capacity within the SR 52 corridor. Transportation systems management and other measures such as the provision of mass transit and ride-sharing opportunities would not create sufficient roadway capacity to address the corridor’s transportation capacity needs. However, as identified in the Clinton Avenue Extension Route Study Report (June 2004), portions of SR 52 through downtown Dade City cannot be widened without significant cost and social impact to the historic downtown area.

The Clinton Avenue Extension Route Study summarized the evaluation of the cost, engineering and environmental issues associated with four new alignment alternatives, ultimately recommending the proposed alignment alternative being evaluated in the project’s State Environmental Impact Report (SEIR).
The proposed alignment and construction of SR 52 on a new route will allow multiple lanes to be constructed without creating substantial impacts to the environment. The proposed alignment begins on SR 52 1600 feet west of McKendree Road, following existing SR 52 for approximately 4,400 feet where it continues eastward on new alignment to Curley Road. At Curley Road, the alignment continues east along McCabe Road for approximately 1.25 miles, then transitions to the north to avoid Williams Cemetery and align with existing Clinton Avenue. The alignment would follow existing Clinton Avenue from Prospect Road to east of Pasadena Road.

Consistent with the 2004 Route Study design concept, there are two proposed typical sections. The first (Figure 1-2), from McKendree Road to CR 579 (Prospect Road) is a four-lane suburban typical section with a 44-ft depressed grass median expandable to an ultimate six-lane urban roadway with a 22-ft raised median. There is a 5-ft sidewalk on the south side and a 10-ft shared use path on the north side. The second typical section (Figure 1-3) from CR 579 (Prospect Road) to Pasadena Road is a four-lane urban roadway with a 22-ft median and two 5-ft sidewalks. Both sections have 11-ft lanes and 7-ft bike lanes. The design speed is 45 mph.

**No Build Alternative**

For capacity improvements to SR 52 between McKendree Road and Pasadena Road, two alternatives were evaluated: the No Build Alternative and the Build Alternative. The No Build Alternative involves not making any construction improvements for the existing or proposed SR 52 corridor beyond any currently planned. There are no planned roadway improvements to the segment of SR 52 between McKendree Road and Pasadena Road. Although there would be no costs associated with the No Build Alternative, traffic congestion and travel delays would increase. Therefore, the No Build would not meet the purpose and need for the project. It was, however, included for comparison with the Build Alternative.

**Build Alternative**

Under the Build Alternative, described above, it is anticipated that as much as 60 percent of the projected traffic for SR 52 would shift to the proposed re-aligned SR 52. With this shift in traffic, existing SR 52 would operate at LOS C and LOS D and the re-aligned SR 52 would operate at LOS B. Therefore, the Build Alternative would meet the project’s purpose and need, but could not do so without incurring cost and environmental impacts.

**Recommendation**

Based upon the results of the evaluation, the Build Alternative is the Recommended Alternative.
Figure 1-2: Proposed Typical Section
Figure 1-3: Proposed Typical Section
1.3 REPORT PURPOSE

In accordance with Chapter 267, F.S. and FDOT procedures, the study team conducted a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) Update to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources occurring within the project area of potential effect (APE) and to assess their significance in terms of their potential eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The project APE for archaeological sites was defined as the footprint of all newly proposed alignment segments plus pond sites (Figure 1-4); archaeological field survey was not required for the project corridor that was previously studied in 2010 during the Pasco County Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS. The APE for historical resources was defined as the project corridor and pond sites, as well as adjacent properties to take into account potential indirect effects such as visual and access. Archaeological and historical/architectural field surveys were preceded by background research. This CRAS did not evaluate cultural resources for the Clinton Avenue segment between Pasadena Road and US 301 which is being constructed by Pasco County.

Background research indicated that two previous cultural resource surveys have been conducted within the project study area. A CRAS of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site was prepared in 1986 by Piper Archaeological Research (Horvath and Austin 1986) and a CRAS of the Pasco County Clinton Avenue Extension was prepared in 2010 by Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI 2010). The Cannon Ranch Development Site boundaries extended from SR 52 at the northwest corner to CR 577 (Curley Road) at the eastern side. As a result of field survey, 13 prehistoric archaeological sites were identified and recorded; only one site (8PA202) was considered to be NRHP eligible but it is located outside of the proposed SR 52 project APE. Of the 13 sites, three (8PA00206, 8PA00207, and 8PA00208) are located within or proximate to the proposed SR 52 project APE. No historic structures were identified or recorded. This CRAS was submitted to the Florida Division of Historical Resources but was not reviewed by the Transportation Compliance Review Section.

The Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS was prepared for Pasco County and included a proposed alignment from west of CR 577 (Curley Road) to Prospect Road and improvements along the existing Clinton Avenue alignment from Prospect Road to west of CR 41 (Fort King Road). This 2010 CRAS also included nine proposed pond sites. As a result of field survey, only three archaeological occurrences (AOs) were discovered; two were found within proposed SMF C and SMF E, respectively. None of the AOs is considered significant. No evidence of three previously recorded archaeological sites (8PA00114, 8PA00167, or 8PA00206) originally identified within the SR 52 APE was found. This CRAS also identified, recorded and evaluated 17 historic buildings. Only one, the Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA02219), an 1887 Frame Vernacular style building located at 36134 Clinton Avenue, was considered eligible for listing in
Figure 1-4: Location of pond sites (SMFs) and areas of new alignment.
the NRHP. This CRAS was submitted to the Florida Division of Historical Resources and received concurrence with these findings in a letter dated December 8, 2010.

As a result of the CRAS update, one new archaeological site (8PA02903), a small lithic scatter, was discovered within proposed SMF 1. It is not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP given the commonality of type for the region and very low research potential. Although proposed SMF B-79A is located within the recorded location of 8PA00206, no evidence of the previously recorded site was found. One new AO, a single artifact find, was discovered within the segment of new alignment located west of Prospect Road. While no evidence of previously recorded sites 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 was found during the 2010 Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS, these sites, as well as 8PA00207 and 8PA00208, as originally recorded, are located within the SR 52 project APE.

As a result of the historical/architectural field survey update, seven previously recorded historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA02788) were identified plus an additional five historic resources (8PA02897 and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated. Among the total 12 historic resources, both 8PA02788 and 8PA02902 are associated with proposed SMF J. The five newly identified Masonry and Frame Vernacular style buildings, constructed between 1955 and 1962, are typical examples of their types with no significant historical associations to persons or events. Thus, none appears to meet the criteria of eligibility for listing in the NRHP. The NRHP-eligible Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA2219) is located east of Pasadena Road. It is located in the portion of the project that is being constructed by Pasco County and will not be affected by this project.
2.0 BACKGROUND RESEARCH

Field survey was preceded by background research which included a review of the findings of the previous CRAS of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site (Horvath and Austin 1986) and the Pasco County Clinton Avenue Extension (ACI 2010), plus an updated check of the digital database of the FMSF (January 2015).

The FMSF data indicate that 26 archaeological and historical surveys have been conducted within one mile of the SR 52 project APE. Among these are several surveys for transportation projects including segments of SR 52 (ACI 2006a, Browning 1985, Driscoll 2004a, 2004b); I-75 (ACI 1997, 2006b, 2009, 2011, 2012a, 2012b); Curley Road (ACI 2005); and the Clinton Avenue Extension (ACI 2010). Other previous surveys were for private commercial and residential developments (ACI 2008, Horvath and Austin 1986, Carty 2006, Pickles et al. 2005, Dixon et al. 2006, Driscoll and Jones 2009, Mayo 1998); cell tower development (Burns 2000, Florida Archaeological Consulting 2007, Parker 2006); improvements to the campus of Saint Leo University (Austin 2002, Austin and Mohlman 2007); plus historic resources surveys of Central Pasco County (Janus Research 2003) and East Pasco County (Janus Research 2003, 2005).

Archaeological Sites

Seventeen recorded archaeological sites are located within one mile of the SR 52 project APE. Of these, four sites, 8PA00167, 8PA00206, 8PA00207, and 8PA00208, are located within or adjacent to the project corridor and/or proposed pond sites (Figures 2-1 and 2-2). SMFB-79A is contained within 8PA00206, a lithic scatter identified during the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site CRAS and re-investigated during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS with negative results. 8PA00167, the McCabe (Lake Kersey) Site, is a lithic scatter originally recorded by William Drayton in 1984, and dated to the Archaic period based on the recovery of a stemmed projectile point. It was updated during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS with negative results. 8PA00207 and 8PA00208 also were recorded during the CRAS of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site (Horvath and Austin 1986). These lithic scatters were not considered significant due to their respective low research potential; they were not evaluated by the SHPO. 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 were evaluated by the SHPO and found to have insufficient information for a determination of NRHP eligibility.

Historic Resources

Historic background research indicated that seven previously recorded historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA2788) are located within the SR 52 project APE (Figures 2-1 through 2-3; Table 2-1). These were recorded during the previous CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). All were evaluated by the SHPO.
Figure 2-1: Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE; USGS San Antonio 1954, PR 1988.
Figure 2-2: Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE; USGS San Antonio 1954, PR 1988 and Dade City 1960, PR 1988.
Figure 2-3: Location of previously recorded archaeological sites and historic resources within or proximate to the SR 52 project APE, including the NRHP-eligible Faith Fellowship (formerly Pasadena Church; 8PA02219); USGS Dade City 1960, PR 1988.
and found to be ineligible for listing in the NRHP in 2010 (FMSF). Also among the historic resources identified during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS is Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA02219). This building at 36134 Clinton Avenue, constructed in 1887, was determined NRHP-eligible. However, it is located east of Pasadena Road, outside the current SR 52 project APE.

The Pasco County Property Appraiser’s website and the USGS San Antonio (1954) and Dade City (1960) quadrangle maps were examined to determine the potential for unrecorded historic resources (50 years of age or older). As a result, five such resources were identified.

Table 2-1 – Previously recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FMSF No.</th>
<th>Address/Site Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>SHPO Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8PA02217</td>
<td>35621 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1940</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02218</td>
<td>35031 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1935</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02783</td>
<td>11549 Curley Road</td>
<td>c. 1960</td>
<td>Mid-Century Modern</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02785</td>
<td>35040 Prospect Road</td>
<td>c. 1950</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02786</td>
<td>35010 Prospect Road</td>
<td>c. 1950</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02787</td>
<td>35024 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1940</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02788</td>
<td>36027 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1950</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.0 METHODS

Field Methodology

Archaeological field survey methods consisted of surface reconnaissance combined with systematic subsurface testing. Shovel tests were placed at 25 m (82 ft) intervals in the high probability areas and at 50 m (164 ft) intervals in the moderate probability areas, as well as judgmentally. The high, moderate, and low probability areas are indicated by HPZ, MPZ, and LPZ, respectively, in Table 4-1. Shovel tests were circular and measured approximately 0.5 m (20 in) in diameter by 1 m (3.3 ft) in depth. All soil removed from the shovel tests was screened through a 6.4 mm (.25 in) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of artifacts. The locations of all shovel tests were plotted on the aerial map, and, following the recording of relevant data, such as stratigraphic profile and artifact finds, all shovel tests were refilled.

Historical/architectural field survey methods consisted of the identification of all previously recorded and potential historic resources located within the project APE. Photographs were taken and information gathered for completion of FMSF forms for each property estimated to be built in or before 1965. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic resource was reviewed to assess style, historic context, and potential NRHP eligibility. Pertinent records on the Pasco County Property Appraiser’s website were examined to obtain site-specific building construction dates and other information.

Unexpected Discoveries

It was anticipated that if human burial sites such as Indian mounds, lost historic and pre-colonial cemeteries, or other unmarked burials or associated artifacts were found, then the provisions and guidelines set forth in Chapter 872.05, FS (Florida’s Unmarked Burial Law) would be followed. Such sites were not expected within the project APE.

Laboratory Methods/Curation

All recovered cultural materials were lithic waste flakes. These were cleaned and subjected to a limited technological analysis focused on ascertaining the stages of stone tool production. Flakes were measured, examined for raw material type and absence or presence of thermal alteration, and classified into four types (primary decortication, secondary decortication, non-decortication, and shatter) based on the amount of cortex on the dorsal surface and the shape (White 1963).

All project-related material (including artifacts, field notes, maps, and photographs) will be stored at Archaeological Consultants, Inc. in Sarasota, until arrangements can be made for curation by the FDOT.
4.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY RESULTS

Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the excavation of 82 shovel tests within all previously unsurveyed pond sites and associated easements, areas of new alignment, and previously unsurveyed segments of right-of-way along Curley Road and Prospect Road. Of the total 12 proposed pond sites, nine were field surveyed in 2010 during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS; 75 shovel tests (STs) were excavated (ACI 2010) (Table 4-1). As a result of this previous effort, no archaeological sites were discovered. However, two archaeological occurrences (AOs) were found in SMF C (AO # 1) and SMF E (AO # 2). A third AO was found within the project corridor, to the north of SMF E. An archaeological occurrence is defined as “one or two non-diagnostic artifacts, not known to be distant from the original context, which fit within a hypothetical cylinder of thirty meters diameter, regardless of depth below surface” (FMSF 1999:10). With the exception of three new easement areas associated with SMFs B, C, and F, no additional archaeological testing was conducted in SMFs B through J. The current effort focused on new SMFs 1, 2, B-75D, and B-79A, plus the three easements. In addition to the proposed ponds, two segments of new alignment were surveyed, plus the previously uninvestigated segments of Curley Road and Prospect Road situated to the immediate north and south of the project corridor. Figures 4-1 and 4-2 show the locations of shovel tests from the 2010 Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS; Figures 4-3 through 4-5 depict shovel tests in the previously unsurveyed ponds, new alignment areas, and the Curley Road and Prospect Road segments.

As a result of the CRAS update, one new site (8PA02903), a small lithic scatter, was discovered in SMF 1. No evidence of previously recorded site 8PA00206 was found in SMF B-79A. A new AO (AO # 4) was discovered within the proposed new alignment located west of Prospect Road. A summary of archaeological survey results is provided in Table 4-1, and a description of each previously recorded and newly identified site and AO located within the project APE follows, including the three previously recorded sites (8PA00167, 8PA00207 and 8PA00208) within the APE associated with the project corridor. FMSF forms are contained in Appendix A.

New and Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites and AOs Associated with Proposed Ponds

8PA02903: The SR 52 Clinton Avenue Site (Photo 4-1) was newly discovered in SMF 1. This small lithic scatter is located in the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 9 in Township 25 South, Range 20 East (USGS San Antonio, 1954). The site occurs on slightly elevated terrain proximate to a wetland associated with Bayou Branch, and is mostly improved pasture with scattered live oak. The local soil type is Pomona fine sand, a nearly level, poorly drained soil found on low ridges in the flatwoods. The soil stratigraphy observed in the productive shovel tests was variable.
This site was discovered as the result of systematic subsurface testing; no surface cultural materials were observed. A total of 18 shovel tests were excavated at 25 m (82 ft) and 50 m (164 ft) intervals, as well as judgmentally (Figure 4-3). As a result, three were productive of three pieces of lithic debitage. Artifacts were recovered from between approximately 20-50 centimeters below surface (cmbs) (8-20 inches [in]). The waste flakes include one secondary decortications flake, one non-decortication flake, and one piece of shatter, all of coral; two were thermally altered. The three flakes range in size from medium to x-large (2.4 mm to 3.5 mm). Given the commonality of this site type throughout Pasco County, and the low research potential, 8PA02903 is considered ineligible for listing in the NRHP.

### Table 4-1 - Summary of archaeological survey results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size (acres)</th>
<th>Prob. Zone</th>
<th>No. Previous STs</th>
<th>No. New STs</th>
<th>Results/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMF 1</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>New site 8PA02903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF 2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>HPZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Negative. No evidence of 8PA00208 (located a short distance to the south)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF B-75D</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF B-79A</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>HPZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Negative. No evidence of 8PA00206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF B</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 (easement)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF C</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (easement)</td>
<td>AO # 1; 1 flake in 1 ST (ACI 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF E</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>HPZ</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>AO # 2; 2 flakes in 2 STs (ACI 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF F</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>LPZ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 (easement)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF G (previously G1 and G2)</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>HPZ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF I West</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF I-East</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF J</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New alignment – connector to SR 52</td>
<td></td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New alignment – west of Prospect Road</td>
<td></td>
<td>MPZ</td>
<td>7 (southern end)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>AO # 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curley Road segment</td>
<td></td>
<td>LPZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospect Road segment</td>
<td></td>
<td>LPZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4-1: Results of the 2010 archaeological survey showing shovel test locations, previously recorded sites, and AOs (from ACI 2010, Figure 5.1).
**Figure 4-2:** Results of the 2010 archaeological survey showing shovel test locations, previously recorded sites, and AOs (from ACI 2010, Figure 5.2)
Figure 4-3: Approximate location of shovel tests within the previously unsurveyed SMF 1 and SMF 2, plus the proposed new alignment and the location of the newly recorded archaeological site.
Figure 4-4: Approximate location of shovel tests within the previously unsurveyed SMF B-75D, SMF B-79A, the easements for SMF B and C, and the Curley Road alignment as well as the previously recorded site.
Figure 4-5: Approximate location of shovel tests and AO#4 within the SMF easement, the new alignment, and the Prospect Road alignment.
8PA00206: The Bayou Branch 2 Site is a low density lithic scatter located in Section 11 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East (Figure 2-1). It was recorded during the survey of the Cannon Ranch property (Horvath and Austin 1986). The site is located on a ridge slope next to a small marsh pond. The local soil is Lochloosa fine sand, 0-5% slopes, a somewhat poorly drained type. A total of 11 waste flakes were recovered from six productive shovel tests at a depth of 10-120 cmbs (4-48 in). The site was not considered significant due to its low research potential. The 2010 CRAS included the excavation of 11 shovel tests at 25 m (82 ft) intervals within the proposed corridor directly north of the site (Figure 4-1). All yielded negative results.

Proposed SMF B-79A is almost wholly contained within the 8PA00206 site area. The excavation of 12 shovel tests throughout the proposed pond site (Photo 4-2; Figure 4-4) yielded negative results. An updated FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.
AO # 1 was discovered during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS within Pond C (ACI 2010) (Figure 4-1; Photo 4-3). It was evidenced by a single piece of lithic debitage recovered from 90-100 cm (35-39 in) below surface. This artifact is a coral non-decortication flake that had not been thermally altered; it measures 5 cm (2 in) long. The general soil stratigraphy consists of 0-30 cm (0-12 in) dark gray brown sand underlain by very light brown sand. No additional testing was conducted during the current SR 52 CRAS.
AO # 2 was discovered during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS within SMF E (ACI 2010) (Figure 4-1; Photo 4-4). It consists of two thermally altered coral flakes recovered from two adjacent shovel tests. The non-decortication flake and secondary decortication flake were found at 40-50 cm (16-20 in) and 90-100 cm (35-39 in) below surface, respectively, in a zone of very light brown sand. No additional testing was conducted during the current SR 52 CRAS.

![Photo 4-4: Location of AO # 2 within SMF E, looking east (from ACI 2010)](image)

New and Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites and AOs within the project APE (exclusive of proposed pond sites)

8PA00167: The McCabe/Lake Kersey Site is located along the project corridor in Section 12 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East (Figure 2-2). It was recorded by William Drayton in 1984 as an Archaic period site based on the recovery of a stemmed projectile point. Additional lithic debitage was recovered from the adjacent grove and pasture (FMSF). The site was not evaluated by the SHPO. The 2010 CRAS for the Clinton Avenue Extension project included the excavation of five shovel tests at 25 and 50 m (82 and 164 ft) intervals within the project corridor to the north of the recorded site location (Figure 4-1); all tests were negative. No additional testing was conducted during the current survey. A copy of the updated FMSF form prepared in 2010 is contained in Appendix A.

8PA00207: The Bayou Branch 3 Site is a low density lithic scatter that was recorded during the survey of the Cannon Ranch property (Horvath and Austin 1986). It is located in Section 10 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East, just outside the APE for the current project (Figure 2-1). A total of 31 waste flakes were recovered from seven productive shovel tests at a depth of 0-100 cmbs (0-40 in). The site was not considered significant due to its low research potential. This site area lies to the south of the Clinton Avenue Extension project APE, and thus, was not
investigated in 2010. It is not associated with any proposed pond site. A copy of the original FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.

**8PA00208:** The Bayou Branch 4 Site is a low density lithic scatter that was recorded during the survey of the Cannon Ranch property (Horvath and Austin 1986). It is located in Section 10 of Township 25 South, Range 20 East, directly southwest of SMF 2 within the project corridor (Figure 2-1). Horvath and Austin suggested that the site area may extend north (1986:51). A total of six waste flakes were recovered from two productive shovel tests at a depth of 25-70 cmbs (10-28 in). The site was not considered significant due to its low research potential. No additional testing was conducted during the current survey. A copy of the original FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.

**AO # 3** was discovered during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS within the project corridor near SMF E (ACI 2010) (Figure 4-1). It was evidenced by two thermally altered coral non-decortication flakes that are 2-3 cm (.8-1.2 in) in size. Both were recovered at 40-50 cm (16-20 in) below surface in a matrix of light orange-brown sand. The stratigraphy consists of 0-35 cm (0-14 in) dark brown sand, 35-60 cm (14-24 in) light orange-brown sand, and 60-120 cm (24-39 in) orange sandy clay. No additional testing was conducted during the current SR 52 CRAS.

**AO # 4** was discovered along the segment of new alignment located west of Prospect Road (Figure 4-5). It consists of one thermally altered coral waste flake found at 80 cm (32 in) below surface in a matrix of very pale yellowish brown fine sand. **Photo 4-5** depicts the existing conditions within the general area.

![Photo 4-5: The proposed new alignment area in the vicinity of AO # 4, looking south](image-url)
5.0 HISTORICAL/ARCHITECTURAL SURVEY RESULTS

The historical/architectural survey update of the project APE resulted in the identification of 12 historic resources, including ten residences, one building used by a fraternal organization, and one church (Figures 5-1 through 5-3; Table 5-1). Seven historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA02788) were previously recorded as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010), and five were newly identified (8PA02897, 8PA02899 through 8PA02902). One previously recorded historic resource, 8PA02788, and one newly identified resource, 8PA02902, are associated with proposed SMF J. No other proposed pond sites are associated with historic resources.

Field survey revealed that the previously recorded resources are all extant. A comparison of the photographs and descriptions submitted in 2010 to their current condition indicates that they have not experienced any alterations that would merit an updated FMSF form. However, one previously recorded resource (8PA02786) has been updated to include a second building contained within the parcel. The five newly recorded historic resources (8PA02897 and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) are common examples of the Frame Vernacular and Masonry Vernacular styles found throughout Pasco County. None is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Narrative descriptions and photographs for all previously recorded and newly identified historic resources located within the project APE follow. A FMSF form for each is contained in Appendix A.
**Figure 5-1:** Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE.
Figure 5-2: Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE.
Figure 5-3: Location of the previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE.
Table 5-1 - Previously and newly recorded historic resources within the SR 52 project APE
(Green shading indicates previously recorded, blue shading indicates updated previously recorded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FMSF NO.</th>
<th>ADDRESS/SITE NAME</th>
<th>CONST. DATE</th>
<th>STYLE</th>
<th>NRHP ELIGIBILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8PA02217</td>
<td>35621 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1940</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02218</td>
<td>35031 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1935</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02783</td>
<td>11549 Curley Road</td>
<td>c. 1960</td>
<td>Mid-Century Modern</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02785</td>
<td>35040 Prospect Road</td>
<td>c. 1950</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02786</td>
<td>35010 Prospect Road</td>
<td>c. 1957</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02787</td>
<td>35024 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1940</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02788</td>
<td>36027 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1950</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>Ineligible 12/08/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02897</td>
<td>31005 SR 52</td>
<td>c. 1955</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>Considered not eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02899</td>
<td>31005-A SR 52</td>
<td>c. 1955</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>Considered not eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02900</td>
<td>Piney Grove Missionary</td>
<td>c. 1956</td>
<td>Masonry Vernacular</td>
<td>Considered not eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baptist Church 31027 SR 52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02901</td>
<td>35045 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1958</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Considered not eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PA02902</td>
<td>36005 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td>c. 1962</td>
<td>Frame Vernacular</td>
<td>Considered not eligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8PA02217: The two-story residence at 35621 Clinton Avenue (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-1) was constructed c. 1940 in the Frame Vernacular style. This residence was originally recorded in 2005 during the Historic Resources Survey of East Pasco County (Janus Research 2005) and updated in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). The frame walls are clad in vinyl siding and rest on a concrete block pier foundation. The structure is capped by a hip roof covered in 5-V crimp metal. Windows throughout are replacement 1/1 vinyl single-hung-sash (SHS). Other design elements include a stucco chimney on the east elevation, vinyl corner boards and window surrounds.

This building has lost a significant amount of historic fabric. In December 2010, incident to the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS, the SHPO concurred that 8PA02217 is not eligible for listing in the NRHP (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02217 remains ineligible. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.
Photo 5-2: South elevation of 35031 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02218, looking north

8PA02218: The residence at 35031 Clinton Avenue (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-2) was constructed c. 1935 in the Frame Vernacular style. This building was originally recorded in 2005 during the Historic Resources Survey of East Pasco County (Janus Research 2005) and updated in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). The one-story house has wood frame walls clad in wood siding resting on a concrete block pier foundation. The structure is capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door accessed via a porch. The open porch features a gable roof supported by simple square wood posts. Windows are 1/1 wood double-hung-sash (DHS). Other design elements include wood corner boards, wood window surrounds, and gable vents.

This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated 8PA02218 as not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02218 remains ineligible. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.
**Photo 5-3: East elevation of 11549 Curley Road, (8PA02783), looking west**

**8PA02783:** The building at 11549 Curley Road (Figure 5-2; Photo 5-3) was constructed c. 1960 in the Mid-Century Modern style. It was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). Currently used as a Lodge by the Knights of Columbus, the building features a slab foundation, concrete block walls that are partially covered in brick veneer, and a flat roof. Original window types include 2/2 aluminum SHS, aluminum three-light awning, and aluminum one-light fixed; some of the windows on the south elevation were in filled c. 1990. Other features of this building include projecting window sills, glass block, a car port, and wide canopy.

This is a typical example of the Mid-Century Modern style found in Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource as not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02783 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.
8PA02785: The residence at 35040 Prospect Road (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-4) was constructed c. 1950 in the Frame Vernacular style. It was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). A concrete block pier foundation supports wood frame walls clad in asbestos shingles. The residence is topped with a gable roof covered in 5-V metal crimp. Window types are 1/1 wood DHS. The main entrance contains a one-light, two-panel wood swing door accessed via an open porch with a shed roof on the south elevation. Other design elements include wood window and door surrounds, and gable vents.

This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource as not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02785 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.
Photo 5-5: West elevation of 35010 Prospect Road, 8PA2786, looking southeast

Photo 5-6: West elevation of garage associated with the house at 35010 Prospect Road, 8PA02786, looking east

8PA02786: The house at 35010 Prospect Road (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-5) was constructed c. 1957 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The masonry walls are clad in brick and rest on a concrete slab foundation. The residence is topped by a hip-on-hip roof covered in composition shingles. Windows are 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum SHS. The main entrance is located on the south elevation
and consists of a single door accessed via a recessed porch supported by decorative metal posts. Other design elements include a brick chimney, brick window sills, metal shutters, and a car port.

The FMSF form submitted in 2010 for this resource recorded a garage structure located directly north of the house (Photo 5-6). Although associated with this parcel, the previously recorded garage is an auxiliary structure to the main house depicted in Photo 5-5, which was not recorded at the time. As such, an updated FMSF form was completed to correctly record the buildings on this parcel, being a single-family residence with a detached garage. This house is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County, and limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02786 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource to a potential historic district.

Photo 5-7: North elevation of 35024 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02787, looking south

8PA02787: The residence at 35024 Clinton Avenue (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-7) was constructed c. 1940 in the Frame Vernacular style. It was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). The wood frame walls are clad in drop siding and supported by a concrete block pier foundation. The structure is capped by a gable roof covered in 5-V metal crimp. Some of the features on this building were obscured due to limited access and visibility. Windows are wood 2/2 DHS. The main entrance is located on the north elevation and features a single wood door accessed via an off-centered porch. The porch features
a gable roof supported by simple wood posts. Other design elements include exposed wood rafter tails, and wood cornerboards, and a wrought-iron porch railing.

This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource and found it to be not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02787 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.

**Photo 5-8: South elevation of 36027 Clinton Avenue, 8PA02788, looking north**

**8PA02788:** The former residence at 36027 Clinton Avenue (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-8) was constructed c. 1950 in the Masonry Vernacular style; it was used as offices and is currently vacant. This building was previously recorded in 2010 as part of the CRAS of the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). It is associated with proposed SMF J. The concrete block walls are clad in stucco and rest on a concrete slab foundation. The structure is capped by a gable roof covered in asphalt shingles and contains a brick chimney on the north slope. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door accessed via a porch. The porch features a gable roof supported by metal scroll posts. The east elevation presents a c. 1970 one-story addition. Window types include four-light steel casements, one-light metal fixed, 6/6 vinyl SHS, and one-light vinyl sliders. Other design elements include fixed shutters.
This is a significantly altered example of the Masonry Vernacular style found in Pasco County. The SHPO evaluated this resource and found it to be not eligible for listing in the NRHP on December 8, 2010 (FMSF). Limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations previously unknown and a comparison of the photographs and description submitted in 2010 indicate that the building has not undergone any significant alterations. As such, 8PA02788 remains not eligible and an updated FMSF form was not completed. A copy of the 2010 updated FMSF form is contained in Appendix A.

Photo 5-9: Southeast elevation of 31005 SR 52, 8PA02897, looking north.

8PA02897: The residence located at 31005 SR 52 (Figure 5-1; Photo 5-9) was built c. 1955 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The concrete block walls rest on a concrete slab foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door. Most windows are missing; however, one original example remains, a jalousie. Other structural and decorative elements include concrete window sills and an extension of the gable on the south elevation over the main entrance.

This is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. This residence is currently vacant and in an advanced state of deterioration. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02897 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.
Photo 5-10: South elevation of 31005-A SR 52, 8PA02899, looking north.

8PA02899: The residence located at 31005-A SR 52 (Figure 5-1; Photo 5-10) was built c. 1955 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The concrete block walls rest on a concrete slab foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in 5-V crimp metal. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single wood door with a metal storm door. Windows are 2/2 aluminum SHS throughout. Other structural and decorative elements include concrete window sills and an extension of the gable on the south elevation over the main entrance. This is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02899 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.
Photo 5-11: South elevation of Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church, 8PA02900, located at 31027 SR 52, looking north

8PA02900: The church located at 31027 SR 52 (Figure 5-1; Photo 5-11) was built c. 1956 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The building consists of a nave and an administrative wing connected to the nave along its north elevation. The concrete block walls rest on a concrete slab foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a replacement double door. Windows are 2/2 aluminum SHS and three-light aluminum awning. Other structural and decorative elements include concrete window sills, symmetrical towers and stepped front gable on the south elevation, and vents. This church is a typical example of a Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County and research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02900 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.
8PA02901: The residence located at 35045 Clinton Avenue (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-12) was built c. 1958 in the Frame Vernacular style. The wood frame walls are clad in vertical wood siding, rest on a continuous brick foundation and are capped by a gable roof covered in composition shingles. The main entrance was not visible owing to limited access to the site. Windows are 2/2 aluminum SHS; these windows are unusually tall. Other structural and decorative elements include wide eaves. This is a typical example of a Frame Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02901 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.
8PA02902: The residence located at 36005 Clinton Avenue (Figure 5-3; Photo 5-13) was built c. 1962 in the Frame Vernacular style. It is associated with proposed SMF J. The wood frame walls are clad in vertical wood siding and are capped by a cross-gable roof covered in composition shingles. The foundation was not visible. Windows are 6/6 aluminum SHS throughout. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single metal door accessed via a porch. The porch features a gabled-front supported by simple wood posts; it extends to the east covering a car port. Other structural and decorative elements include wide eaves, shutters and attic vents. This is a typical example of a Frame Vernacular style residence found throughout Pasco County. Research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02902 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP.
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The CRAS update resulted in the identification of five archaeological sites (8PA00167, 8PA00206, 8PA00207, 8PA00208, and 8PA02903), four AOs, and 12 historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, 8PA02785 through 8PA2788, 8PA02897, and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) within the SR 52 project APE. Of these, one archaeological site (8PA02903) and five historic resources (8PA02897, and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) were newly identified.

Archaeological sites 8PA00206, 8PA00207, and 8PA00208 were recorded in 1986 during the CRAS for the Proposed Cannon Development Site. 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 were reinvestigated during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS. While no evidence of 8PA00167 and 8PA00206 was found during the Clinton Avenue Extension CRAS, these sites, as well as 8PA00207 and 8PA00208, are located within the SR 52 project APE, in accordance with the FMSF database. Newly identified archaeological site 8PA02903 is located within SMF 1. 8PA00206 is within SMF B-79A according to FMSF data; however, the current field investigation yielded negative results. 8PA02903 is a low artifact density lithic scatter. Given the commonality of this site type throughout Pasco County, and the low research potential, it is not considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP. 8PA00206 has not been evaluated by the SHPO but was considered ineligible by the site recorders (Horvath and Austin 1986).

Seven historic resources (8PA02217, 8PA02218, 8PA02783, and 8PA02785 through 8PA2788) were previously recorded as part of the CRAS for the Clinton Avenue Extension corridor (ACI 2010). None was determined NRHP-eligible. The five newly recorded historic resources (8PA02897 and 8PA02899 through 8PA02902) are common examples of the Frame Vernacular and Masonry Vernacular styles found throughout Pasco County with no significant historical associations to persons or events. None is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district. 8PA02788 and 8PA02902 are associated with SMF J. No other proposed pond sites are associated with historic resources.

In conclusion, no archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, determined eligible, or considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP are located within the SR 52 project APE. The NRHP-eligible Faith Fellowship (former Pasadena Church; 8PA2219) is located east of Pasadena Road. It is located in the portion of the project that is being constructed by Pasco County and will not be affected by this project.
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Appendix A: Florida Master Site File Forms
ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0  1/07

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) McCabe
Project Name CRAS Clinton Avenue Extension, Pasco County
Ownership: ☑private-profit ☑private-nonprofit ☑private-individual ☑private-nonspecific ☑city ☑county ☑state ☑federal ☑Native American ☑foreign ☑unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING
USGS 7.5 Map Name & Date San Antonio 1961
City/Town (within 3 miles) __________________________ In City Limits? ☑yes ☑no ☑Unknown County __________________________
Township 25S Range 20E Section 12 ¼ section: ☑NW ☑SW ☑SE ☑NE Irregular-name: __________________________
Landgrant ☑Tax Parcel # __________________________
UTM Coordinates: Zone ☑16 ☑17 Easting _____________0 Northing _____________0
Other Coordinates: X: __________________________ Y: __________________________ Coordinate System & Datum __________________________
Address / Vicinity / Route to __________________________

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) __________________________

TYPE OF SITE (check all that apply)

SETTING *
☐ Land (terrestrial) ☐ Wetland (palustrine)
☐ Lake/Pond (lacustrine) ☐ usually flooded
☐ River/Stream/Creek (ravine) ☐ usually dry
☐ Tidal (estuarine) ☐ Cave/Sink (subterranean)
☐ Saltwater (marine) ☐ terrestrial ☐ aquatic
☐ Other settings, structures, features or functions __________________________

STRUCTURES OR FEATURES *
☐ aboriginal boat ☐ fort ☐ road segment
☐ agric/farm building ☐ midden ☐ shell midden
☐ burial mound ☐ mill ☐ shell mound
☐ building remains ☐ mission ☐ shipwreck
☐ cemetery/grave ☐ mound, nonspecific ☐ subsurface features
☐ dump/refuse ☐ plantation ☐ surface scatter
☐ earthworks ☐ platform mound ☐ well
☐ subsurface features ☐ shell midden
☐ Other (List less common phases or specific sub-phases. For historic sites, give specific dates if known.) __________________________

FUNCTION *
☐ aboriginal boat ☐ fort ☐ road segment
☐ agric/farm building ☐ midden ☐ shell midden
☐ burial mound ☐ mill ☐ shell mound
☐ building remains ☐ mission ☐ shipwreck
☐ cemetery/grave ☐ mound, nonspecific ☐ subsurface features
☐ dump/refuse ☐ plantation ☐ surface scatter
☐ earthworks ☐ platform mound ☐ well
☐ other (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

CULTURE PERIODS (check all that apply)

☐ Aboriginal ☑ Englewood ☑ St. Johns (nonspecific)
☐ California ☑ Fort Walton ☑ St. Johns I
☐ Archaic (nonspecific) ☑ Glades (nonspecific) ☑ St. Johns II
☐ Archaic, Early ☑ Glades I ☑ St. Johns III
☐ Archaic, Middle ☑ Glades II ☑ Orange
☐ Archaic, Late ☑ Glades III ☑ Paleoliondian
☐ Belle Glade ☑ Hickory Pond ☑ Pensacola
☐ Cades Pond ☑ Leon-Jefferson ☑ Perico Island
☐ Caloosahatchee ☑ Malabar I ☑ Safety Harbor
☐ Deptford ☑ Malabar II ☑ St. Augustine
☐ other (List less common phases or specific sub-phases. For historic sites, give specific dates if known.) __________________________

☐ Aboriginal ☐ Alabama ☑ Fort Walton
☐ Archaic (nonspecific) ☐ Glades (nonspecific) ☑ Mount Taylor
☐ Archaic, Early ☑ Glades I ☑ Mount Royal
☐ Archaic, Middle ☑ Glades II ☑ Orange
☐ Archaic, Late ☑ Glades III ☑ Paleoliondian
☐ Belle Glade ☑ Hickory Pond ☑ Pensacola
☐ Cades Pond ☑ Leon-Jefferson ☑ Perico Island
☐ Caloosahatchee ☑ Malabar I ☑ Safety Harbor
☐ Deptford ☑ Malabar II ☑ St. Augustine
☐ Other (List less common phases or specific sub-phases. For historic sites, give specific dates if known.) __________________________

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE
Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? ☑yes ☑no ☑Insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register District? ☑yes ☑no ☑Insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required if evaluated; use separate sheet if needed) __________________________

Evidence of site discovered within project area __________________________
Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action __________________________

DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date / / ____________
☐ Owner Objection

SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☑yes ☑no ☑Insufficient information Date / / ____________ Init.________
KEEPER – Determined eligible: ☑yes ☑no Date / / ____________
NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☑a ☑b ☑c ☑d __________________________

DHR USE ONLY
Field Date 09/14/10
Form Date 09/22/10
Recorder # __________________________
### ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

#### FIELD METHODS (check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE DETECTION</th>
<th>SITE BOUNDARIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ no field check</td>
<td>□ exposed ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ literature search</td>
<td>□ posthole digger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ informant report</td>
<td>□ auger-size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ remote sensing</td>
<td>□ unscreened shovel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) 5 ST, 0 positive, 25 & 50 m intervals

- 50 cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen

#### SITE DESCRIPTION

- Extent: Size (m²) ______ Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit no artifacts recovered
- 0-45 cm dark gray brown sand, 45-100 cm light brown sand

Temporal Interpretation - Components (check one): □ single component □ multiple component □ uncertain

Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations:

Integrity - Overall disturbance*: □ none seen □ minor □ substantial □ major □ redeposited □ destroyed-document! □ unknown

Disturbances / threats / protective measures: road construction & utilities / roadway improvements / none

Surface collection: area collected ______ m² # collection units ______ Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks ______

### ARTIFACTS

**COLLECTION SELECTIVITY**

- unknown □ unselective (all artifacts) □ selective (some artifacts) □ mixed selectivity

**SPATIAL CONTROL**

- uncollected □ general (not by subarea) □ unknown □ controlled (by subarea) □ variable spatial control

#### ARTIFACT CATEGORIES* and DISPOSITIONS*

Pick exactly one code from Disposition List $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$ (example: A. bone-human)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>bone-animal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>bone-human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>bone-unspecifed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>bone-worked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>ceramic-aboriginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>ceramic-nonaboriginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>daub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Artifact Comments

**DIAGNOSTICS** (type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwanee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

1. (C) X N= 4. N= 7.
2. (C) X N= 5. N= 8.

#### ENVIRONMENT

- Nearest fresh water type & name (incl. relict source) ______ Distance (m)/bearing ______
- Natural community (FNAC category* or leave blank) ______
- Local vegetation ______
- Topography* ______
- Present land use ______
- SCS soil series ______
- Soil association ______

Min Elevation ______ meters Max Elevation ______ meters

#### DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s)* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information. notes, maps, photos, etc. on file ACI P10041

Manuscripts or Publications on the site (use separate sheet if needed, give FMSF# if relevant) ACI (2010) Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Clinton Avenue Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road Pasco County, Florida.

Recorder Information (name / address / phone / affiliation) Horvath, Elizabeth A., 98 Hickorywood Dr., Crawfordville, FL 32327 Archaeological Consultants, Inc., 850-926-9285, acinorth@comcast.net

Required Attachments 

1. PHOTOCOPY OF 7.5’ USGS QUAD MAP WITH SITE BOUNDARIES MARKED and SITE PLAN

Plan at 1:3,600 or larger. Show boundaries, scale, north arrow, test/collection units, landmarks and date.
corridor adjacent to site
**Type of Site** (select all that apply)

- Wetland (palustrine)
- Lake/Pond (lacustrine)
- Tidal (estuarine)
- Saltwater (marine)
- Usually flooded
- Usually dry
- Cave/Sink (subterranean)
- Terrestrial
- Aquatic

**Cultral Periods** (select all that apply)

- Aboinial
  - Archeaic
  - Paleoindian
  - Late Archeaic
  - Early Archeaic
  - Basamuch
- Faux
- Non-Aboinial
  - British
  - First Spanish
  - Second Spanish

**Function**

- Plantation
- Farmstead
- Village
- Quarry
- Campsite
- Homestead
- Farmstead
- Mission
- Church

**Opinion of Resource Significance**

- Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places?
- Yes
- No
- Insufficient Information

- Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district?
- Yes
- No
- Insufficient Information

- Explanation of Evaluation (required if evaluated; use separate sheet if needed)
- No evidence of this site discovered within the project APE

**Owner Objection**

- None
ARCHEOLOGICAL SITE FORM

SITE DETECTION

FIELD METHODS (select all that apply)

- no field check
- exposed ground
- screened shovel
- screened shovel-1/4"
- screened shovel-1/8"
- screened shovel-1/16"
- informer report
- auger tests
- screened shovel-1/16"
- informer report
- auger tests
- remote sensing
- unscreened shovel
- exposed ground
- unscreened shovel
- block excavations
- estimate or guess

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan)

12 STs, 0 positive, 50 m intervals, 50 cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen

SITE BOUNDARY

Extant Size (m²) Depth stratigraphy of cultural deposit

No artifacts recovered

Temporal Interpretation - Components (check one):

- single component
- multiple component
- uncertain

Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations:

Integrity - Overall disturbance:

- none seen
- minor
- substantial
- major
- redeposited
- destroyed-document
- unknown

Disturbances / threats / protective measures

Surface collection: area collected _______ m² # collection units _______

Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks _______

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # ________

Select a disposition from the list below for each artifact category selected at left

A - category always collected
S - some items in category collected
O - observed first hand, but not collected
R - collected and subsequently left at site
I - informer-reported category present
U - unknown

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES and DISPOSITIONS

select a disposition from the list below for each artifact category selected at left

DIAGNOSTICS (type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwanee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

1. _______ N= _______ 2. _______ N= _______ 3. _______ N= _______

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water: Type _______ Name _______ Distance from site (m) _______

Natural community _______ Topography _______ Elevation: Min _______ m Max _______ m

Local vegetation

Present land use _______

SCS soil series _______

Soil association _______

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1. Document type _______

- All materials at one location

- Maintaining organization: Archaeological Consultants Inc

- File or accession #’s P1314B

2. Document type _______

- Notes, maps, photos

- Maintaining organization

- File or accession #’s

RECORDER & INFORMANT INFORMATION

Informant Information: Name _______

Address / Phone / E-mail _______

Recorder Information: Name _______

Address / Phone / E-mail _______

Affiliation _______

Archaeological Consultants Inc

Required Attachments ① PHOTOCOPY OF 7.5’ USGS QUAD MAP WITH SITE BOUNDARIES MARKED and SITE PLAN

Plan at 1:3,600 or larger. Show boundaries, scale, north arrow, test/collection units, landmarks and date.
Archaeological Form

Site # 8PA00206

San Antonio 1954, PR 1988
Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 11
Florida Master Site File

SITE NUMBER BPa207
USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUAD San Antonio
NOTE: Please attach an 8.5" X 11" copy of the appropriate portion of the above map, with site location indicated.

TOWNSHIP/RANGE/SECTION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 S</td>
<td>20 E</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The figure to the left represents a regular section (1 square mile); please indicate the location of your site by placing an X in the appropriate portion of the section.

If the section is irregular or part of a land grant, please check below and disregard above instructions.

☐ Irregular section
☐ Land grant

(name)

UTM COORDINATES: Zone / Easting / Northing

NOTE: If you are unfamiliar with calculating UTM measurements, leave blank.

FRESH WATER SOURCE creek/marsh DISTANCE TO WATER adjacent

LOCAL VEGETATION oaks, pasture

LOCAL INFORMANT (inc. private collections)

ADDRESS

LOCAL INFORMANT (inc. private collections)

ADDRESS

SURVEY DATE 8/96 OTHER MASTER SITE FILE NUMBERS

RECORDER(S) (list principal investigator first) Elizabeth A. Horvath,
Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33731

PROJECT NAME Cannon Ranch DRI

TOPOGRAPHICAL SETTING topographic rise

TYPE OF SITE (check one or more as appropriate):

- [] indeterminate
- [] unknown
- [] single artifact
- [] artifact scatter
- [] midden(s)
- [] shell midden(s)
- [] shell works
- [] mound(s)
- [] burial mound(s)
- [] platform/temple
- [] mound(s)
- [] canal
- [] canoe
- [] prehistoric
- [] historic refuse
- [] historic earthworks
- [] shell ring
- [] redeposited

[#1572]
THREATS TO SITE:
- zoning
- development
- deterioration
- borrowing
- transportation
- fill
- dredge
- logging
- vandalism
- phosphate mining
- agriculture/plowing

REMARKS:
- preservation recommended
- severely disturbed/destroyed

REPOSITORY
- No further work recommended

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA
1986 Horvath & Austin Cultural Resource Assessment
Survey of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site, Pasco County, Florida

NOTE: Cite any reports referring specifically to this site. General background material need not be cited. Use Florida Anthropologist format.

CULTURAL CLASSIFICATION
- Prehistoric aboriginal

CULTURAL PERIOD
- Unknown

ARTIFACTS (Check as many as apply):
- aboriginal ceramics
- nonaboriginal ceramics
- worked bone
- human bone/burial(s)
- animal bone/
- identified bone
- shell food remains
- worked shell
- plant remains
- wood
- metal
- precious metal/coin(s)
- glass
- brick/bldg materials
- other human remains (e.g., hair)

DIAGNOSTIC ARTIFACTS
- None

SITE SIZE (approx acreage) 5.8
SITE SIZE (est in sq meters) 23700
DEPTH OF CULTURAL DEPOSIT
(if known) 0-100 cmbs
Max 32.3
Min 29.0
Max 106
Min 95

DEGREE OF SITE DESTRUCTION
- relatively undisturbed
- moderate
- minor
- major

SITE DISTURBANCES
- bioturbation
- erosion
- mining/borrow pit
- agricultural
- residential/
- commercial
- dredging/ditching
- site looting
- forest preparation
- fill
- previous archaeological excavations

COLLECTION STRATEGY
- general
- selective
- controlled
- unknown

TYPE OF INVESTIGATION
- surface collection
- shovel test
- extensive excavation
- test excavation
- auger test
- coring
- remote sensing
- none

OPTIONAL NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION
(If there is no published report, provide a short description of the site on a separate sheet)

OPTIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS OR SKETCHES OF DIAGNOSTIC OR UNIQUE ARTIFACTS
(Please attach separate sheet(s))

FORM PREPARED BY
Elizabeth A. Horvath
Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.

ADDRESS
P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33731

DATE
10/7/86
Figure 1. Map of Cannon Ranch study area. Archaeological sites and non-site loci located during survey are also shown.
**Florida Master Site File / ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM**

**SITE NUMBER** 8Pa208  
**USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUAD** San Antonio  
**SITE NAME** Bayou Branch 4  
**NOTE:** Please attach an 8.5" X 11" copy of the appropriate portion of the above map, with site location indicated.

**TOWNSHIP/RANGE/SECTION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 S</td>
<td>20 E</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** The figure to the left represents a regular section (1 square mile); please indicate the location of your site by placing an X in the appropriate portion of the section.

If the section is irregular or part of a land grant, please check below and disregard above instructions.

- [ ] Irregular section
- [ ] Land grant

**(name)**

**UTM COORDINATES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>372240</td>
<td>3133710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** If you are unfamiliar with calculating UTM measurements, leave blank.

**FRESH WATER SOURCE** stream  
**DISTANCE TO WATER** 0 m

**LOCAL VEGETATION** planted pines

**LOCAL INFORMANT (inc. private collections)**

**ADDRESS**

**SURVEY DATE** 8/96  
**OTHER MASTER SITE FILE NUMBERS**

**RECORDER(S) (list principal investigator first)** Elizabeth A. Horvath, Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.

**ADDRESS** P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33701

**PROJECT NAME** Canoh Ranch DRI

**TOPOGRAPHICAL SETTING** topographic rise

**TYPE OF SITE (check one or more as appropriate):**

- [ ] indeterminate
- [ ] mound(s)
- [ ] prehistoric cemetery
- [ ] unknown
- [ ] burial mound(s)
- [ ] mission
- [ ] single artifact
- [ ] platform/temple
- [ ] historic refuse
- [ ] artifact scatter
- [ ] mound(s)
- [ ] historic earthworks
- [ ] lithic scatter
- [ ] canal
- [ ] shell ring
- [ ] midden(s)
- [ ] canoe
- [ ] redeposited
- [ ] shell midden(s)
- [ ] prehistoric earthworks
- [ ] shell works
- [ ]
THREATS TO SITE:
[ ] zoning [ ] transportation [ ] vandalism
[ ] development [ ] fill [ ] phosphate mining
[ ] deterioration [ ] dredge [ ] agriculture/plowing
[ ] borrowing [ ] logging [ ]

REMARKS:
[ ] preservation recommended [ ] recommended for further testing
[ ] severely disturbed/destroyed [ ] No further work recommended

REPOSITORY
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA 1986 Horvath & Austin Cultural Resource Assessment Survey
of the Proposed Cannon Ranch Development Site, Pasco County, Florida

NOTE: Cite any reports referring specifically to this site.
General background material need not be cited. Use
Florida Anthropologist format.

CULTURAL CLASSIFICATION Prehistoric aboriginal

CULTURAL PERIOD Unknown

ARTIFACTS (Check as many as apply):
[ ] aboriginal ceramics [ ] worked shell [ ] brick/bldg
[ ] nonaboriginal ceramics [ ] plant remains [ ] materials
[ ] lithics [ ] wood [ ] other human
[ ] worked bone [ ] metal remains
[ ] human bone/burial(s) [ ] precious metal/ (e.g., hair)
[ ] animal bone/
[ ] unidentified bone [ ] glass
[ ] shell food remains

DIAGNOSTIC ARTIFACTS None

SITE SIZE (approx acreage) 1 Meters
SITE SIZE (est in sq meters) 4200 Feet
DEPTH OF CULTURAL DEPOSIT
(if known) 25-70
Max 29 Min 27.4
Max 95 Min 90

DEGREE OF SITE DESTRUCTION
[ ] relatively undisturbed [ ] minor
[ ] moderate [ ] major

SITE DISTURBANCES
[ ] bioturbation [ ] dredging/ditching [ ] previous
[ ] erosion [ ] site looting [ ] archaeological
[ ] mining/borrow pit [ ] forest preparation [ ] excavations
[ ] agricultural or harvesting [ ]
[ ] residential/ [ ] fill [ ]
commercial [ ]

COLLECTION STRATEGY
[ ] general [ ] selective [ ] controlled [ ] unknown [ ]

TYPE OF INVESTIGATION
[ ] surface collection [ ] auger test [ ] unknown
[ ] shovel test [ ] coring [ ]
[ ] extensive excavation [ ] remote sensing [ ]
[ ] test excavation [ ] none [ ]

OPTIONAL NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION (If there is no published report,
provide a short description of the site on a separate sheet)

OPTIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS OR SKETCHES OF DIAGNOSTIC OR UNIQUE
ARTIFACTS (Please attach separate sheet(s))

FORM PREPARED BY: Elizabeth A. Horvath, Piper Archaeological Research, Inc.
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 919, St. Petersburg, FL 33701
DATE 10/7/86
Figure 1. Map of Cannon Ranch study area. Archaeological sites and non-site loci located during survey are also shown.
**ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM**

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

*Version 4.0  1/07*

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for detailed instructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name(s)</th>
<th>SR 52 Clinton Avenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>PD&amp;E SR 52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>1) private-profit 2) private-nonprofit 3) private-individual 4) private-non-specific 5) city 6) county 7) state 8) federal 9) Native American 10) foreign 11) unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USGS 7.5 Map Name</th>
<th>SAN ANTONIO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City/Town (within 3 miles)</td>
<td>In City Limits? 1) yes 2) no 3) unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township</td>
<td>1) range 2) section 3) ¼ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTM Coordinates:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address / Vicinity / Route to:</td>
<td>North of SR 52, about 700 feet west of Emmaus Cemetery Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TYPE OF SITE**  
(select all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Structures or Features</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAND (terrestrial)</td>
<td>Wetland (palustrine)</td>
<td>lunette site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake/Pond (lacustrine)</td>
<td>usually flooded</td>
<td>sheet midden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River/Stream/Creek (rivers)</td>
<td>usually dry</td>
<td>shell mound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal (estuarine)</td>
<td>Cave/Sink (subterranean)</td>
<td>shell midden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saltwater (marine)</td>
<td>terrestrial</td>
<td>shell mound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic</td>
<td>log boat</td>
<td>shipwreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>agric/farm building</td>
<td>habitation (prehistoric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>burial mound</td>
<td>homestead (historic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>building remains</td>
<td>farmstead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cemetery/grave</td>
<td>village (prehistoric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dump/refuse</td>
<td>town (historic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>earthworks (historic)</td>
<td>quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>platform mound</td>
<td>well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CULTURE PERIODS** (select all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aboriginal</th>
<th>Non-Aboriginal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric non-ceramic</td>
<td>First Spanish 1513-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englewood</td>
<td>First Spanish 1609-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Walton</td>
<td>First Spanish 1700-1763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippian</td>
<td>First Spanish (non-specific)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Taylor</td>
<td>British 1763-1793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood</td>
<td>Second Spanish 1783-1821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>American Territorial 1821-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleoindian</td>
<td>American Civil War 1861-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American 19th Century</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? 1) yes 2) no 3) insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required if evaluated; use separate sheet if needed)

Small and common site with limited artifact assemblage and absence of diagnostic tool forms. Low research potential.

Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DHR USE ONLY</th>
<th>OFFICIAL EVALUATION</th>
<th>DHR USE ONLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR List Date</td>
<td>KEEPER – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: 1) yes 2) no 3) insufficient info</td>
<td>Owner Objection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Init.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DHR USE ONLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR6E045R0107 Florida Master Site File / Div. of Historical Resources / R. A. Gray Bldg / 500 S Bronough St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250
Phone (850) 245-6440 / Fax (850) 245-6439 / E-mail SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us
**ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM**

**SITE DETECTION**

- □ no field check  □ exposed ground  □ screened shovel  □ bounds unknown  □ remote sensing  □ unscreened shovel
- □ literature search  □ posthole tests  □ screened shovel-1/4"  □ none by recorder  □ exposed ground  □ block excavations
- □ informant report  □ auger tests  □ screened shovel-1/8"  □ literature search  □ posthole tests  □ informant report  □ auger tests  □ estimate or guess

Other methods, number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan) ____________________________________________________________________________________

**SITE DESCRIPTION**

Extent (m²) _______ Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit 20–50 cmbs

Temporal Interpretation - Components (check one):  □ single component  □ multiple component  □ uncertain

Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations:

Integrity - Overall disturbance:  □ none seen  □ minor  □ substantial  □ major  □ redeposited  □ destroyed-document!  □ unknown

Disturbances / threats / protective measures ____________________________________________________________________________________

Surface collection: area collected ______ m²  # collection units ______  Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks ______

**ARTIFACTS**

Total Artifacts # 3 count  estimate | Surface # 0 Subsurface # 3

**COLLECTION SELECTIVITY**

- □ unknown  □ unselective (all artifacts)  □ selective (some artifacts)  □ mixed selectivity  □ not observed

**SPATIAL CONTROL**

- □ uncollected  □ general (not by subarea)  □ unknown  □ controlled (by subarea)  □ variable spatial control  □ other (describe in comments below)

**DIAGNOSTICS** (type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwanee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)


**ENVIRONMENT**

Nearest fresh water: Type _______ Name _______ Distance from site (m) _______

Natural community _______ Topography _______ Elevation: Min 27 m Max 28 m _______

Local vegetation _______

Present land use _______ SCS soil series _______ Soil association _______

**DOCUMENTATION**

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type _______ All materials at one location _______ Maintaining organization _______ Archaeological Consultants Inc _______

2) Document type _______ Maintaining organization _______ File or accession #’s _______

**RECORDER & INFORMANT INFORMATION**

Informant Information: Name _______

Recorder Information: Name _______ Joan Deming _______ Affiliation _______ Archaeological Consultants Inc _______

**Required Attachments**

1) PHOTOCOPY OF 7.5’ USGS QUAD MAP WITH SITE BOUNDARIES MARKED and SITE PLAN

Plan at 1:3,600 or larger. Show boundaries, scale, north arrow, test/collection units, landmarks and date.
Historical Structure Form

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0 1/07

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 35621 Clinton Avenue
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County
National Register Category (please check one) ☑ building ☑ structure ☑ district ☑ site ☑ object
Ownership: ☑ private-profit ☑ private-nonprofit ☑ private-individual ☑ private-non-specific ☑ city ☑ county ☑ state ☑ federal ☑ Native American ☑ foreign ☑ unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address (include N.S.E.W.; #; St., Ave., etc.) 35621 Clinton Avenue
City/Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo
USGS 7.5’ Map Name & Date Dade City 1988
In City Limits? ☑ yes ☑ no unknown
Tax Parcel # 05-25-21-0000-03900-0000
Land grant
Subdivision Name
UTM: Zone 16 Easting 379784 Northing 3134638
No Other Map
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum

HISTORY

Construction Year 1940 approximately
Original Use* residence
Current Use* residence
Other Use* Move(s): ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown
Alterations: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown
Additions: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown
Architect (last name first): unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Blount, Allen and Barbara (2009-current)

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☑ yes ☑ no unknown

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular
Exterior Fabric(s) * vinyl siding
Roof Type(s) * hip
Roof secondary struc. (dormers etc.)*
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 1/1 SHS, vinyl, independent and paired

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) window sills; vinyl window surrounds; cornerboards
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)

CONSULT the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

DHR USE ONLY

SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ insufficient info Date ___/___/____ Init.
KEEPER – Determined eligible: ☑ yes ☑ no Date ___/___/____
NR Criteria for Evaluation: a b c d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No. 1</th>
<th>Material(s): stucco on east elevation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s):</td>
<td>wood frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation: Type(s): continuous</td>
<td>Material(s): concrete block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entrance: (stylistic details)</td>
<td>obscured; within north porch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porch Descriptions: (types, locations, roof types, etc.)</td>
<td>closed, north, gable; open, east, none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)**

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [ ] library research
- [ ] building permits
- [x] Sanborn maps
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [ ] city directory
- [ ] occupant/owner interview
- [ ] plat maps
- [x] property appraiser / tax records
- [ ] newspaper files
- [ ] neighbor interview
- [ ] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- [x] cultural resource survey
- [ ] historic photos
- [ ] interior inspection
- [ ] HABS/HAER record search
- [x] other methods (describe): Pioneer Museum History Center

**Bibliographic References** (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

- Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [x] yes
- Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [x] yes
- Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

**RESEARCH METHODS**

> Given the extent of alterations to this building, its similarity to others in Pasco County, and the lack of historical significance in the available data, it appears that 8PA2217 is not eligible for listing in the NRHP.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance** (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- Community Planning and Development

**DOCUMENTATION**

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible:

> For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.

All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

**RECORER INFORMATION**

- **Recorder Name**: Lumang, Marielle
- **Recorder Contact Information**: 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ACIFlorida@comcast.net
- **Recorder Affiliation**: Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

*Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.*

**Required Attachments**

1. **USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP**
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 5
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987
Site Name(s) (address if none) 35031 Clinton Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) 
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County Survey # (DHR only) 
National Register Category (please check one) ☑ building ☑ structure ☑ district ☑ site ☑ object 
Ownership: ☑ private-profit ☑ private-nonprofit ☑ private-individual ☑ private-nonspecific ☑ city ☑ county ☑ state ☑ federal ☑ Native American ☑ foreign ☑ unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING
Address (include N.S.E.W.; # St., Ave., etc.) 35031 Clinton Avenue 
Cross Streets (nearest / between) 
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Dade City 1988 
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo In City Limits? ☑ no ☑ yes 
County Pasco Irregular-name: 
Towship 25S Range 21E Section 5 ¼ section: ☑ NW ☑ SE ☑ SW ☑ NE ☑ unknown 
Tax Parcel # 05-25-21-0010-00000-0030 Landgrant 
Subdivision Name 
UTM: Zone 16 17 Easting 378819 Northing 3134636 Coordinate System & Datum 
Other Coordinates: X: _________________ Y: _________________ 
Name of Public Tract (e.g. park)

HISTORY
Construction Year: 1935 ☑ approximately 
Original Use: ☑ residence ☑ original ☑ To (year): - 
Current Use: ☑ residence ☑ From (year): - ☑ To (year): current 
Other Use* ☑ original ☑ To (year): - 
Move: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown Dates ___________ Nature* 
Alterations: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown Dates ___________ Nature* 
Additions: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown Dates ___________ Nature* 
Architect (last name first): unknown Builder (last name first): unknown Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Reid, Daniel and Ruth (2004-current) 

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ unknown 

DESCRIPTION
Style: Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan: irregular Number of Stories: 1 
Exterior Fabric(s) : drop siding 
Roof Type(s) : gable 
Roof Material(s): composition shingles 
Roof secondary structs. (dormers etc.)* 
Windows (types, materials, etc.): 1/1 DHS, wood, independent and paired  
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) gable vent, cornerboards, wood window surrounds, exposed rafter tails; screens over windows 
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)

*DHR USE ONLY
Site File@dos.state.fl.us
Owner Objection

SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: ☑ yes ☑ no ☑ insufficient info Date ___________ Init. ___________
KEEPER - Determined eligible: ☑ yes ☑ no Date ___________ 
NR Criteria for Evaluation: ☑ a ☑ b ☑ c ☑ d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
### DESCRIPTION (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No: 0</th>
<th>Material(s): wood frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s): 1-light, 3-panel wood swing door on south</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation: Type(s): concrete block</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entrance (stylistic details): open, south, gable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition (overall resource condition): excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative Description of Resource:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Archaeological Remains:  
* Check if Archaeological Form Completed

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

### RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [ ] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [ ] property appraiser / tax records
- [ ] cultural resource survey
- [ ] other methods (describe) Pioneer Museum History Center

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

### OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  
- [ ] yes  
- [x] no  
- [ ] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  
- [ ] yes  
- [x] no  
- [ ] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed): This appears to be an intact example of a Frame Vernacular style residence from pre-WWII Pasco County history. However, research did not reveal any significant historical associations with a person or event. Therefore, 8PA2218 is not considered eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g., "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.): Community Planning and Development

### DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible:  
For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document types,* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.  
All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

### RECORDER INFORMATION

Recording Name: Lumang, Marielle  
Recording Contact Information: 8110 Blankie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ACIFlorida@comcast.net

Recorder Affiliation: Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

### Required Attachments

1. USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2. LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 5
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987
**Historical Structure Form**

**Florida Master Site File**

**Version 4.0 1/07**

**址 Name(s) (address if none) 11549 Curley Road**

**Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County**

**National Register Category (please check one)**
- building
- structure
- district
- site
- object

**Ownership**
- private
- nonprofit
- private-nonprofit
- private-individual
- private-nonspecific
- City
- County
- State
- Federal
- Native American
- foreign
- unknown

**Location & Mapping**

**Address (include N.E.W.; #, St., Ave., etc.)**

11549 Curley Road

**Cross Streets (nearest between)**

**USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date**

San Antonio 1988

**City / Town (within 3 miles)**

San Antonio

**Township** 25S

**Range** 20E

**Section** 11

**Tax Parcel #** 11-25-20-0000-01200-0000

**Subdivision Name**

Block

**UTM: Zone**

**Easting** 375069 _0

**Northing** 3133974 _0

**Other Coordinates**

**Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)**

**History**

**Construction Year**

1960 _ ✔ approximately

**Original Use**

fraternity

**Current Use**

fraternity

**Other Use**

**Moves**

- yes
- no
- unknown

**Alterations**

- yes
- no
- unknown

**Additions**

- yes
- no
- unknown

**Architect (last name first):**

Knights of Columbus (1960-current)

**Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)**

Knights of Columbus (1960-current)

**Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?**

- yes
- no
- unknown

**Description**

**Style**

Modern

**Exterior Fabric(s)**

- concrete block; brick veneer

**Roof Type(s)**

- flat

**Roof secondary struc. (domes etc.,)*

- flat

**Windows (types, materials, etc.)**

- 2/2 SHS, metal, paired; 1-light fixed, metal, sized; 3-light awning, metal, paired; jalousie, metal, ribbon (3)

**Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)**

- wide canopy; port-cochere; glass block; projecting window sills

**Ancillary Features / Outbuildings**

(record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)

- * Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DHR Use Only</th>
<th>Official Evaluation</th>
<th>DHR Use Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR List Date</td>
<td>SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:</td>
<td>Date__/<strong>/</strong> Init.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Objection</td>
<td>KEEPER - Determined eligible:</td>
<td>Date__/<strong>/</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR Criteria for Evaluation:</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
### DESCRIPTION (continued)

**Chimney:** No. 0  
**Material(s):**  
**Structural System(s):** concrete block  
**Foundation:** Type(s): slab  
**Material(s):** poured concrete  
**Main Entrance (stylistic details):** 1-light metal swing door; pair  
**Porch Descriptions:** (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

**Condition (overall resource condition):**  
- excellent  
- good  
- fair  
- deteriorated  
- ruinous  

**Narrative Description of Resource**

Archaeological Remains ____________________________________________________________________________  
☐ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

### RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- ☑ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  
- ☑ FL State Archives/photo collection  
- ☑ property appraiser / tax records  
- ☑ cultural resource survey  
- ☑ other methods (describe) Pioneer Museum History Center  

**Bibliographic References** (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

### OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?**  
- ☑ yes  
- ☐ no  
- ☐ insufficient information  

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?**  
- ☑ yes  
- ☐ no  
- ☐ insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation** (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This is a typical example of the Modern style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research revealed no significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA2783 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

### DOCUMENTATION

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File** - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible:

For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.

All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI: P10041

### RECORDER INFORMATION

**Recorder Name** Lumang, Marielle  
**Recorder Contact Information** (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blakie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ACIFlorida@comcast.net

**Recorder Affiliation** Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Use a Supplement for Site Forms or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

### Required Attachments

1. **USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP**
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (gloss paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 11
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

**Version 4.0** 1/07

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name(s) (address if none)</th>
<th>35040 Prospect Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple Listing (DHR only)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Project Name</td>
<td>CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Register Category (please check one)</td>
<td>building, structure, district, site, object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership:</td>
<td>private-profit, private-nonprofit, private-individual, private-non-specific, city, county, state, federal, Native American, foreign, unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

| Address (include N/S/E/W; #; St., Ave., etc.) | 35040 Prospect Road |
| Cross Streets (nearest / between) |                      |
| USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date | Dade City 1988 |
| City / Town (within 3 miles) | Saint Leo |
| Township | 25S |
| Range | 21E |
| Section | 5 |
| Tax Parcel # | 05-25-21-0010-0000-0030 |
| Subdivision Name | Block |
| UTM: Zone | 16 |
| Easting | 378763 |
| Northing | 3134745 |
| Other Coordinates: X: | Y: |
| Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) |                      |

**HISTORY**

| Construction Year | 1950 |
| Original Use* | residence |
| Current Use* | residence |
| Other Use* |                      |
| Moves: | yes, no, unknown |
| Alterations: | yes, no, unknown |
| Additions: | yes, no, unknown |
| Architect (last name first): | unknown, unknown |
| Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) | Reid, Daniel and Ruth (2004-current) |

**Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?**

| yes | no | unknown |

**DESCRIPTION**

| Style | Frame Vernacular |
| Exterior Fabric(s) | asbestos shingles |
| Roof Type(s) | gable |
| Roof Material(s) | 5-V, wood, independent and paired |
| Windows (types, materials, etc.) | 1/1 DHS, wood, independent and paired |
| Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) | gable vents, wood door surrounds, wood window surrounds, scroll porch rail |
| Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) |                      |

**DHR USE ONLY**

| NR List Date | ___/___/____ |
| Owner Objection | |

**OFFICIAL EVALUATION**

| SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: | yes, no, insufficient info |
| Date | ____/____/____ Init. |
| KEEPER - Determined eligible: | yes, no |
| Date | ____/____/____ |
| NR Criteria for Evaluation: | a, b, c, d | (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2) |

**DHR USE ONLY**

| Site # | PA2785 |
| Field Date | 9/22/10 |
| Form Date | 9/24/10 |
| Recorder # | 39 |

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).
For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s), (2) maintaining organization, (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.

---

**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**  
**Site #8 PA2785**

**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

- Chimney: No. 0  
  Material(s)*:  

- Structural System(s)*: wood frame  

- Foundation: Type(s)*: pier  
  Material(s): concrete block

- Main Entrance [stylistic details]: 1-light, 2-panel wood swing door on south

- Porch Descriptions [types, locations, roof types, etc.]: open, south, shed

- Condition (overall resource condition):  
  - [ ] excellent  
  - [ ] good  
  - [ ] fair  
  - [ ] deteriorated  
  - [ ] ruinous

- Narrative Description of Resource

---

- Archaeological Remains

- [ ] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

- * Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

**RESEARCH METHODS** (check all that apply)

- [ ] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection  
- [ ] property appraiser / tax records  
- [ ] cultural resource survey  
- [ ] other methods (describe) Pioneer Museum History Center

- Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

---

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

- Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  
  - [ ] yes  
  - [ ] no  
  - [ ] insufficient information

- Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  
  - [ ] yes  
  - [ ] no  
  - [ ] insufficient information

- Explanation of Evaluation [required; whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed]
  
  This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research revealed no significant historic associations. Therefore, 8PA2785 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

---

**DOCUMENTATION**

- Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible:
  
  For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s), (2) maintaining organization, (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.

  *All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

---

**RECORDER INFORMATION**

- Recorder Name: Lumang, Marielle
- Recorder Contact Information (address / phone / fax / e-mail): 8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ACIFlorida@comcast.net
- Recorder Affiliation: Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

**Required Attachments**

1. **USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP**
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD **AND** in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
### LOCATION & MAPPING

- **Address:** 35010 Prospect Road
- **Cross Streets:** Between Prospect Road and Just-A-Mere Lane
- **USGS 7.5 Map Name:** Dade City
- **City / Town (within 3 miles):** Dade City
- **Tax Parcel #:** 0525210010000000030
- **Subdivision Name:** Sumners/Allen Small Farm
- **UTM Coordinates:** Zone 16, Easting 375564, Northing 3377646
- **Other Coordinates:** X: 16, Y: 17
- **Name of Public Tract:** Coordinate System & Datum

### HISTORY

- **Construction Year:** 1957
- **Original Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)
- **Current Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)
- **Move:**
  - Unknown Date: __________
- **Architect:** Unknown
- **Ownership History:** Daniel and Ruth Reid (2001)
- **Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?** Unknown

### DESCRIPTION

- **Style:** Masonry Vernacular
- **Exterior Fabric:**
  - Brick
  - Hip on hip
- **Roof:**
  - Composition shingles
- **Roof secondary structures:** Dormers
- **Windows:** 2/2 aluminum SHS, paired; 1/1 aluminum SHS
- **Distinguishing Architectural Features:** Brick window sills, car port, shutters
- **Ancillary Features / Outbuildings:**
  - One Frame Vernacular detached garage with a gable roof, located directly north of the house.

### DHR USE ONLY

- **NR List Date:**
- **Owner Objection:**
- **Keeper – Determined eligible:** Date __________ Init.________
- **SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:** Date __________
- **NR Criteria for Evaluation:** (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
### Description (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No.</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s):</th>
<th>Structural System(s):</th>
<th>Foundation Type(s):</th>
<th>Foundation Material(s):</th>
<th>Main Entrance (stylistic details)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>Concrete block</td>
<td>Slab</td>
<td>Concrete Block</td>
<td>South elevation, single door</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) Southeast elevation, recessed, supported by decorative metal posts.

Condition (overall resource condition): □ excellent □ good □ fair □ deteriorated □ ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource: Typical Masonry Vernacular style residence with a large covered car port on its southwest elevation. The detached garage associated with this house was previously recorded. This form updates that previous survey, see continuation sheet for explanation.

Archaeological Remains

### Research Methods (check all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- property appraiser / tax records
- cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- other methods (describe)

- USDA Historic Aerial Photography
- HABS/HAER record search
- USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
- LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

### Opinion of Resource Significance

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? □ yes □ no □ insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? □ yes □ no □ insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed): This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02786 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1. Architecture  3.  
2.  4.  
5.  6.  

### Documentation

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document type</th>
<th>Document description</th>
<th>Maintaining organization</th>
<th>File or accession #'s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>All materials at one location</td>
<td>Archival Consultants Inc</td>
<td>P14125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Field photographs, notes, maps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Recorder Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recorder Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jorge Danta</td>
<td>Archaeological Consultants Inc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recorder Contact Information</th>
<th>Address / Phone / Fax / E-mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The house at 35010 Prospect Road was constructed c. 1957 in the Masonry Vernacular style. The masonry walls are clad in brick and rest on a concrete slab foundation. The residence is topped by a hip-on-hip roof covered in composition shingles. Windows are 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum single-hung-sash. The main entrance is located on the south elevation and consists of a single door accessed via a recessed porch supported by decorative metal posts. Other design elements include a brick chimney, brick window sills, metal shutters, and a car port.

The FMSF form submitted in 2010 for this resource recorded a garage structure located directly north of the house. Although associated with this parcel, the previously recorded garage is an auxiliary structure to the main house, which was not recorded at the time. The Pasco County Property Appraiser dates the house to 1979; however, the building appears on a 1957 aerial of the area. In addition, the stylistic characteristics of this house make the 1979 date of construction improbable, and are more appropriate to a 1950s design (Wells 2014; USDA 1957). As such, an updated FMSF form was completed to correctly record the buildings on this parcel, being a c. 1957 single-family residence with a detached garage. Nevertheless, this house is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County, and limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA2786 does not appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as a contributing resource to a potential historic district.

REFERENCES

Florida Master Site File (FMSF)

McAlester, Virginia Savage

United States Department of Agriculture

Wells, Michael (Pasco County Property Appraiser)
    2014 Property appraiser information accessed via http://appraiser.pascogov.com/
Dade City
Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 05
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**

**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

**Version 4.0**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name(s) (address if none)</th>
<th>Multiple Listing (DHR only)</th>
<th>Survey Project Name <em>CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County</em></th>
<th>Survey # (DHR only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35024 Clinton Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**National Register Category** (please check one) _building_ _structure_ _district_ _site_ _object_

**Ownership:** _private-profit_ _private-nonprofit_ _private-individual_ _private-nonspecific_ _city_ _county_ _state_ _federal_ _Native American_ _foreign_ _unknown_

---

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

**Address** (include N.S.E.W.; # St., Ave., etc.)

35024 Clinton Avenue

**Cross Streets** (nearest / between)

**USGS 7.5’ Map Name & Date**

_Dade City 1988_

**City / Town** (within 3 miles)

_Saint Leo_

**In City Limits?** _yes_ _no_

**County**

_Pasco_

**Survey # (DHR only)**

________________________

**Subdivision Name**

________________________

**UTM: Zone**

_16_

**Easting**

_378810_

**Northing**

_3134516_

**Coordinate System & Datum**

________________________

**Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)**

________________________

---

**HISTORY**

**Construction Year**

_1940_

**Original Use** _residence_

**Current Use** _residence_

**Other Use**

________________________

**Moves:** _yes_ _no_ _unknown_

**Dates** ____________

**Original address (if moved)**

________________________

**Alterations:** _yes_ _no_ _unknown_

**Dates** ____________

**Nature** ____________

**Additions:** _yes_ _no_ _unknown_

**Dates** ____________

**Nature** ____________

**Architect (last name first):** _unknown_

**Ownership History** (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) _Norris, David and Elizabeth (unknown-current)_

**Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?** _yes_ _no_ _unknown_

**Is the Resource Affected by a State Preservation Ordinance?** _yes_ _no_ _unknown_

**Is the Resource Affected by a Federal Preservation Ordinance?** _yes_ _no_ _unknown_

**Is the Resource Affected by a Native American Preservation Ordinance?** _yes_ _no_ _unknown_

---

**DESCRIPTION**

**Style** _Frame Vernacular_ _irregular_

**Exterior Plan** _irregular_

**Number of Stories** _1_

**Exterior Fabric(s)** _drop siding_

**Roof Type(s)** _gable_

**Roof secondary struc. (domers etc.)** _2/2 DHS, wood, independent_

**Windows** (types, materials, etc.) _wood window surrounds, window sills, exposed rafter tails, brackets, cornerboards, wrought iron porch railing_

**Distinguishing Architectural Features** (exterior or interior ornaments) _wood window surrounds, window sills, exposed rafter tails, brackets, cornerboards, wrought iron porch railing_

**Ancillary Features / Outbuildings** (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)

---

**DHR USE ONLY**

**OFFICIAL EVALUATION**

**DHR USE ONLY**

**NR List Date** ____________

**SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:** _yes_ _no_ _insufficient info_

**Date** ____________

**KEEPER - Determined eligible:** _yes_ _no_

**Date** ____________

**NR Criteria for Evaluation:** _a_ _b_ _c_ _d_ (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

---

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).
**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

- **Chimney:** No., 0
- **Material(s):**
- **Structural System(s):** wood frame
- **Foundation: Type(s):** pier
- **Material(s):** concrete block
- **Main Entrance (stylistic details):** obscured; on north elevation within porch
- **Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.):** open, north, gable; open, south, shed

**Condition (overall resource condition):**
- [☐] excellent
- [☒] good
- [☐] fair
- [☐] deteriorated
- [☐] ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource:**
Some features of this building were obscured due to limited access. According to the occupant, this house has been owned by one family. However, research of available documents could not confirm this statement nor was any significant historic association found with the family home and development of the area.

**Archaeological Remains:**
- [☐] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

*Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).*

**RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)**

- [☑] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [☐] library research
- [☐] building permits
- [☐] Sanborn maps
- [☐] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [☐] city directory
- [☒] occupant/owner interview
- [☐] plat maps
- [☐] property appraiser / tax records
- [☐] newspaper files
- [☐] neighbor interview
- [☐] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- [☐] cultural resource survey
- [☐] historic photos
- [☐] interior inspection
- [☐] HABS/HAER record search
- [☐] other methods (describe): Pioneer Museum History Center, ca. 1941 aerials (PALMM)

**Bibliographic References:** (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant; use continuation sheet if needed)

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?**
- [☐] yes
- [☒] no
- [☐] insufficient information

**Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?**
- [☐] yes
- [☒] no
- [☐] insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed):**
This appears to be an intact example of a Frame Vernacular style residence in Pasco County from the pre-WWII era. At this time, 8PA2787 is not considered to be eligible for listing in the NRHP, but may be considered locally significant after additional research.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance:**
(see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

**Community Planning and Development**

**DOCUMENTATION**

**Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File:**
- including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible:
- For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.
- All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

**RECEORDER INFORMATION**

- **Recorder Name:** Lumang, Marielle
- **Recorder Contact Information:** (address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blakie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/ACIFlorida@comcast.net
- **Recordor Affiliation:** Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

Use a **Supplement for Site Forms** or other continuation sheet for descriptions that do not fit in the spaces provided.

**Required Attachments**

1. **USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED**
2. **LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP** (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. **PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE**

*If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.*
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0  1/07

Site Name(s) (address if none) 36027 Clinton Avenue  Multiple Listing (DHR only)  
Survey Project Name CRAS Clinton Ave Extension from Curley Road to Prospect Road, Pasco County  Survey # (DHR only)  
National Register Category (please check one)  building  structure  district  site  object  
Ownership:  private-profit  private-nonprofit  private-individual  private-non-specific  city  county  state  federal  Native American  foreign  unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING
Address (include N.S.E.W; # St., Ave., etc.) 36027 Clinton Avenue  
Cross Streets (nearest / between)  
USGS 7.5' Map Name & Date Dade City 1988  
City / Town (within 3 miles) Saint Leo  In City Limits?  yes  no  unknown  County Pasco  
Township 25S  Range 21E  Section 9  ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:  
Tax Parcel # 09-25-21-0040-00400-0041  Plat or Other Map  Landgrant  
Subdivision Name  
UTM: Zone 16  Easting 380417  Northing 3134226  Other Coordinates: X: ___________________ Y: ___________________ Coordinate System & Datum  
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)  

HISTORY
Construction Year 1950  approximately  Original Use* residence  
Current Use* residence/commercial  
Other Use*  
Moves: yes  no  unknown  Dates  
Alterations: yes  no  unknown  Dates  
Additions: yes  no  unknown  Dates  
Architect (last name first): unknown  
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Smith, Steven and Teresa (2006-current)  
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?  yes  no  unknown  
Describe  

DESCRIPTION
Style Masonry Vernacular  Exterior Plan irregular  Number of Stories 1  
Exterior Fabric(s) stucco; wood siding  
Roof Type(s) gable  
Roof secondary struc. (dormers etc.)  
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 1-light fixed with 4-light sidelights, metal, independent; 2/2 SHS, vinyl, independent; 2-light sliding, vinyl, independent; 12-light casement, metal, independent; 8-light casement, metal, independent  
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) fixed shutters; scroll porch posts  
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed)  

DHR USE ONLY
Owner Object  SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes  no  insufficient info Date _____/____/_______ Init._______  
KEEPER - Determined eligible: yes  no  Date _____/____/_______  
NR Criteria for Evaluation:  a  b  c  d  (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).
For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.

____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Archaeological remains

Check if Archaeological Form Completed

* Consult Guide to Historical Structure Forms for preferred descriptions (coded fields at the Site File).

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- property appraiser / tax records
- cultural resource survey
- other methods (describe)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant; use continuation sheet if needed)

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? Yes ☑ No ☐ Insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not, use separate sheet if needed)

Given the extent of alterations to this building, its similarity to others in Pasco County, and the lack of historical significance in the available data, it appears that 8PA2788 is not eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents that are permanently accessible: For each separately maintained collection, describe (1) document type(s),* (2) maintaining organization,* (3) file or accession nos., and (4) descriptive information.

All maps, notes, and photos on file at ACI; P10041

RECORHER INFORMATION

Required Attachments

1. USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2. LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

Required Attachments

Lumang, Marielle

8110 Blaikie Ct, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34243/941-379-6206/
ACIFlorida@comcast.net

Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
36027 Clinton Avenue (8PA2788)
USGS MAP

Township 25 South, Range 21 East, Section 9
San Antonio, Fla. 1973, PR 1987
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**
**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**

**Version 4.0  1/07**

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name(s) (address # none)</th>
<th>31005 SR-52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey Project Name</td>
<td>PD&amp;E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Register Category (please check one)</td>
<td>building structure district site object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership: private-profit</td>
<td>private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivision Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBlock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEEPER – Determined eligible:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR List Date</td>
<td>SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes no insufficient info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEEPER – Determined eligible:</td>
<td>yes no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR Criteria for Evaluation:</td>
<td>a b c d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Street Number</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Street Type</th>
<th>Suffix Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31005 SR-52</td>
<td>31005</td>
<td>Road</td>
<td>SR-52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Streets (nearest / between)</td>
<td>Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS 7.5 Map Name</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS Date</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City / Town (within 3 miles)</td>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In City Limits? yes no unknown</td>
<td>County Pasco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township</td>
<td>25S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>20E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¼ section:</td>
<td>NW SW SE NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular-name:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Parcel #</td>
<td>1025200000004000010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivision Name</td>
<td>Not in a subdivision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTM Coordinates: Zone</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>3772366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northing</td>
<td>35416101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Coordinates: X:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HISTORY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Year</th>
<th>1955 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Use</td>
<td>Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Use</td>
<td>Abandoned/Vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moves: yes no unknown Date:</td>
<td>Original address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterations: yes no unknown Date:</td>
<td>Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions: yes no unknown Date:</td>
<td>Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect (last name first): Unknown</td>
<td>Builder (last name first): Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)</td>
<td>Grace M. Murphy (1979)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe |

**DESCRIPTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Masonry Vernacular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Fabric(s) 1.</td>
<td>Concrete block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Type(s) 1.</td>
<td>Gable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Material(s) 1.</td>
<td>Composition shingles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof secondary structs. (dormers etc.) 1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows (types, materials, etc.) glass and metal jalousie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)</td>
<td>Concrete window sills, extension of gable on south elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)</td>
<td>None visible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DHR USE ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NR List Date</th>
<th>SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: yes no insufficient info Date Init.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEEPER – Determined eligible:</td>
<td>yes no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR Criteria for Evaluation:</td>
<td>a b c d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8  PA02897

DESCRIPTION (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No.</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s): 1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s):</td>
<td>Concrete block</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s):</td>
<td>Slab</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s):</td>
<td>Concrete, Generic</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entrance (stylistic details)</td>
<td>S elevation, single wood door</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Condition (overall resource condition): ☐ excellent  ☐ good  ☐ fair  ☐ deteriorated  ☐ ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

One-story Masonry Vernacular style residence, vacant and in an advanced state of deterioration. It is one of two residential buildings on the lot, recorded individually as 8PA02897 and 8PA02899.

Archaeological Remains

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

☒ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  ☐ library research  ☐ building permits  ☐ Sanborn maps
☐ FL State Archives/photo collection  ☐ city directory  ☐ occupant/owner interview  ☐ plat maps
☐ property appraiser / tax records  ☐ newspaper files  ☐ neighbor interview  ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS)  ☐ historic photos  ☐ interior inspection  ☐ HABS/HAER record search
☐ other methods (describe)  USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

E Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02897 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

☐ Architecture  ☐ Archaeological Consultants Inc
☐ Historic District  ☐ Architectural significant
☐ Building  ☐ Architectural significance
☐ Place  ☐ Architectural style
☐ Area or Area Group  ☐ Architectural style

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type: All materials at one location  Maintaining organization: Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description: Field photographs, notes, maps  File or accession #: P14125

2) Document type:  Maintaining organization: 
Document description: File or accession #: 

RECORER INFORMATION

Record Name: Jorge Danta  Affiliation: Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information: 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240

Required Attachments

☐ USGS 7.5’ Map with Structure Location Pinpointed in Red
☐ Large Scale Street, Plat or Parcel Map (available from most property appraiser web sites)
☐ Photo of Main Facade, Archival B&W Print or Digital Image File

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
San Antonio
Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 09

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
Site # 8PA02897

USGS

Copyright: © 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed
### Location & Mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Number</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Street Type</th>
<th>Suffix Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31005-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>SR-52</td>
<td>Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Cross Streets (nearest/between): Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road
- USGS 7.5 Map Name: SAN ANTONIO
- USGS Date: 1954
- Plat or Other Map: In City Limits? Yes
- County: Pasco
- Township: 25S
- Range: 20E
- Section: 10

#### Tax Parcel #
- 1025200000004000010
- Land Grant: Lot
- Subdivision Name: Not in a subdivision

#### UTM Coordinates
- Zone: 16
- Easting: 372457
- Northing: 240829
- Coordinate System & Datum: Coordinate System & Datum

#### Name of Public Tract
- Name of Public Tract: (e.g., park)

### History

#### Construction Year
- Date: 1955
- Year Listed or Earlier: Yes
- Year Listed or Later: Yes

#### Original Use
- Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)
- From: 1955
- To: cur

#### Current Use
- Empty
- From: 1955
- To: cur

#### Other Use
- Previous Use:
- From: 1955
- To: cur

#### Moves
- Yes: No
- No: Yes
- Unknown: Yes
- Date: c. 1990
- Original Address: 5-V crimp metal roof

#### Alterations
- Yes: No
- No: Yes
- Unknown: No
- Date: 1979
- Nature: In use
- Original Use: Concrete window sills, extension of gable on south elevation

#### Additions
- Yes: No
- No: Yes
- Unknown: No
- Date: 1979
- Nature: In use
- Original Use: Concrete window sills, extension of gable on south elevation

#### Architect (last name first)
- Name: Grace M. Murphy

#### Builder (last name first)
- Name: Unknown

### Description

- Style: Masonry Vernacular
- Exterior Fabric(s)
  - 1. Concrete block
  - 2. Gable
  - 3. Sheet metal: 5-V crimp
- Roof Type(s)
  - 1. Gable
- Roof Material(s)
  - 1. Sheet metal: 5-V crimp
- Roof secondary structures: (dormers, etc.) 1.
- Windows (types, materials, etc.): 2/2 aluminum SHS, paired

#### Ancillary Features / Outbuildings
- None visible

---

### SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing
- Date
- Init.

### Owner Objection
- Date

---

**Historical Structure Form**

**Florida Master Site File**

**Version 4.0**

**Site #**

**Record Date**

**Form Date**

**Recorder #**

**HR6E046R0107 Florida Master Site File / Division of Historical Resources / R. A. Gray Building / 500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250 Phone (850) 245-6440 / Fax (850)245-6439 / E-mail SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us**
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8 PA02899

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. __________ 2. __________
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. __________ 3. __________
Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. __________
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. __________
Main Entrance (stylistic details) S elevation, single wood door with metal storm door.

Condition (overall resource condition): [ ] excellent [ ] good [ ] fair [ ] deteriorated [ ] ruinous
Narrative Description of Resource
One-story Masonry Vernacular style residence with original 2/2 aluminum single-hung-sash windows. It is one of two residential buildings on the lot, recorded individually as 8PA02897 and 8PA02899.
Archaeological Remains

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

☐ FMSF record search (sites/surveys) ☐ library research ☐ building permits ☐ Sanborn maps
☐ FL State Archives/photo collection ☐ city directory ☐ occupant/owner interview ☐ plat maps
☐ property appraiser / tax records ☐ newspaper files ☐ neighbor interview ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)
☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS) ☐ historic photos ☐ interior inspection ☐ HABS/HAER record search
☐ other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [ ] yes [ ] no [ ] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [ ] yes [ ] no [ ] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02899 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. “architecture”, “ethnic heritage”, “community planning & development”, etc.)

1. Architecture
2. __________________________
3. __________________________
4. __________________________
5. __________________________
6. __________________________

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type __________________________
Document description __________________________
2) Document type __________________________
Document description __________________________

RECMER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Jorge Danta
Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240

Required Attachments

1 USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
San Antonio
Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 10

Site # 8PA02899

Copyright © 2013 National Geographic Society / i-cubed
Site Name(s) (address if none) Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church
Survey Project Name PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd
National Register Category (please check one) X building  structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit X private-nonprofit X private-individual X private-non-specific X city X county X state X federal X Native American X foreign X unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING
Address: 31027 SR-52 Road
Cross Streets (nearest/between) Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road
USGS 7.5 Map Name SAN ANTONIO
City / Town (within 3 miles) San Antonio
Township 25S Range 20E Section 10 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel #: 1025200000004000000 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Not in a subdivision
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 Easting 372227 Northing 333404 Block
Other Coordinates: X: _______________ Y: _______________
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church

HISTORY
Construction Year: 1956 □ approximately □ year listed or earlier X year listed or later
Original Use Church/Temple/Synagogue
Current Use Church/Temple/Synagogue
Other Use
Moves: □ yes □ no □ unknown Date: c. 2000 Nature New entrance door, ADA ramp
Alterations: □ yes □ no □ unknown Date: 1992 Original address
Additions: □ yes □ no □ unknown Date: 1992 Nature
Architect (last name first): Unknown Builder (last name first): Unknown
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.) Piney Grove Missionary Baptist Church (1976)
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? □ yes □ no □ unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION
Style: Masonry Vernacular
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. None visible
Windows (types, materials, etc.) 2/2 aluminum SHS, three-light aluminum awning
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments) symmetrical towers, stepped front gable, vents, concrete window sills.
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.) None visible

DHR USE ONLY
OC0 Openshaw – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: □ yes □ no □ insufficient info Date ____________ Init.
KEEPER – Determined eligible: □ yes □ no Date ____________
NR Criteria for Evaluation: a □ b □ c □ d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No. 0</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s): 1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s): 1.</td>
<td>Concrete block</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s): 1.</td>
<td>Slab</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s): 1.</td>
<td>Concrete, Generic</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Entrance (stylistic details)</td>
<td>S elevation, double glazed door</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.) None present

**Condition (overall resource condition):**

- [ ] excellent
- [X] good
- [ ] fair
- [ ] deteriorated
- [ ] ruinous

**Narrative Description of Resource**
Masonry Vernacular style church with an attached service building to the rear (N) facade. The church features a symmetrical pair of towers on its front elevation.

**RESEARCH METHODS** (check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)</td>
<td>☐ library research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ FL State Archives/photo collection</td>
<td>☐ building permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ property appraiser / tax records</td>
<td>☐ Sanborn maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS)</td>
<td>☐ plat maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ other methods (describe)</td>
<td>☐ Historic Aerial Photography</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bibliographic References**

1. USDA Historic Aerial Photography
2. United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

- Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [ ] yes [X] no [ ] insufficient information
- Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [ ] yes [X] no [ ] insufficient information

**Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)**
This is a typical example of the Masonry Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02900 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

**Area(s) of Historical Significance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Insufficient Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Architecture</td>
<td>[X] yes</td>
<td>[ ] no</td>
<td>[ ] insufficient information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Religion</td>
<td>[ ] yes</td>
<td>[ ] no</td>
<td>[ ] insufficient information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Art</td>
<td>[ ] yes</td>
<td>[ ] no</td>
<td>[ ] insufficient information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Industry</td>
<td>[ ] yes</td>
<td>[ ] no</td>
<td>[ ] insufficient information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Agriculture</td>
<td>[ ] yes</td>
<td>[ ] no</td>
<td>[ ] insufficient information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Religion</td>
<td>[ ] yes</td>
<td>[ ] no</td>
<td>[ ] insufficient information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOCUMENTATION**

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type: All materials at one location
   Document description: Field photographs, notes, maps
   Maintaining organization: Archaeological Consultants Inc
   File or accession #\'s: P14125

2) Document type: None present
   Document description: None present
   Maintaining organization: None present
   File or accession #\'s: None present

**RECORER INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recorder Name</th>
<th>Jorge Danta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>Archaeological Consultants Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Required Attachments**

1. USGS 7.5' Map with Structure Location Pinpointed in Red
2. Large Scale Street, Plat or Parcel Map (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. Photo of Main Facade, Archival B&W Print or Digital Image File

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD and in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
San Antonio
Township 25 South, Range 20 East, Section 10

HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
Site # 8PA02900

USGS

Copyright: © 2013 National Geographic Society/i-cubed
### Historical Structure Form

**Date of Form:** 1/07

#### Site Name(s)

- 35045 Clinton Avenue

#### Survey Project Name

- PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd

#### National Register Category

- [ ] building  [ ] structure  [ ] district  [ ] site  [ ] object

#### Ownership

- private-profit
- private-nonprofit
- private-individual
- private-nonspecific
- city
- county
- state
- federal
- Native American
- foreign
- unknown

#### Location & Mapping

- **Address:** 35045 Clinton Avenue
- **Cross Streets:** Between McKendree Road and Emmaus Cemetery Road
- **USGS 7.5 Map Name:** Dade City
- **City / Town:** Dade City
- **County:** Pasco
- **Township:** 25S  Range 21E  Section 5
- **Tax Parcel #:** 052521001000000041
- **Subdivision Name:** Sumner/Allen Small Farms
- **UTM Coordinates:** Zone 16  Easting 3736449  Northing 248328

#### History

- **Construction Year:** 1958
- **Original Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)
- **Original Address:**
- **Other Use:**
- **Moves:**
- **Alterations:**
- **Additions:**
- **Architect:** Unknown
- **Builder:** Unknown
- **Ownership History:** Mary Andrews (1987)

#### Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?

- [ ] yes
- [ ] no
- [ ] unknown

#### Description

- **Style:** Frame Vernacular
- **Exterior Fabric(s):**
  - 1. Wood siding
- **Roof Type(s):**
  - 1. Gable
- **Roof Material(s):**
  - 1. Composition shingles
- **Windows:**
  - 2/2 aluminum SHS
- **Distinguishing Architectural Features:** Wide eaves
- **Ancillary Features / Outbuildings:** None visible

### DHR Use Only

#### Official Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NR List Date</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Init</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEEPER – Determined eligible:</td>
<td>[ ] yes</td>
<td>[ ] no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Init</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NR Criteria for Evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)
HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

Site #8  PA02901

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0  Chimney Material(s): 1.  2.  

Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. 3.  

Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.  

Foundation Material(s): 1. Brick 2.  

Main Entrance (stylistic details)  Unknown owing to limited visibility  

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)  Unknown owing to limited visibility  

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruined  

Narrative Description of Resource: Frame Vernacular style residence capped by a gable roof. Clear views of the building were blocked by vegetation. Despite limited visibility, the building appears to be a common example of the Frame Vernacular style.  

Archaeological Remains  

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

☐ FMSF record search (sites/surveys)  ☐ library research  ☐ building permits  ☐ Sanborn maps  

☐ FL State Archives/photo collection  ☐ city directory  ☐ occupant/owner interview  ☐ plat maps  

☐ property appraiser / tax records  ☐ newspaper files  ☐ neighbor interview  ☐ Public Lands Survey (DEP)  

☐ cultural resource survey (CRAS)  ☐ historic photos  ☐ interior inspection  ☐ HABS/HAER record search  

☐ other methods (describe)  USDA Historic Aerial Photography  

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed): United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/  

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually?  ☐ yes  ☐ no  ☐ insufficient information  

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district?  ☐ yes  ☐ no  ☐ insufficient information  

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed): This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, 8PA02901 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.  

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.):  

1. Architecture  3.  5.  

2.  4.  6.  

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents  

1) Document type  All materials at one location  

Document description  Field photographs, notes, maps  

Maintaining organization  Archaeological Consultants Inc  

File or accession #’s  P14125  

2) Document type  

Document description  

Maintaining organization  

File or accession #’s  

RECORER INFORMATION

Recorder Name  Jorge Danta  

Recorder Contact Information  8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240  

Affiliation  Archaeological Consultants Inc  

Required Attachments

1) USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED  

2) LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)  

3) PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE  

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
**HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM**  
**FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE**  
Version 4.0  1/07

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation. Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

---

**Site Name(s) (address if none):** 36005 Clinton Avenue  
**Multiple Listing (DHR only):**

**Survey Project Name:** PD&E - SR-52 - McKendree Rd to Pasadena Rd  
**Survey # (DHR only):**

**National Register Category (please check one):** [ ] building  [ ] structure  [ ] district  [ ] site  [ ] object

**Ownership:** [ ] private-profit  [ ] private-nonprofit  [ ] private-individual  [ ] private-nonspecific  [ ] city  [ ] county  [ ] state  [ ] federal  [ ] Native American  [ ] foreign  [ ] unknown

---

**LOCATION & MAPPING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Street Number</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Street Type</th>
<th>Suffix Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36005</td>
<td>Clinton Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Cross Streets (nearest/between):** Between Pasadena Road and Circle B Road
- **USGS 7.5 Map Name:** Dade City
- **USGS Date:** 1960
- **Pilot or Other Map:** land grant
- **Township:** 25S  
  **Range:** 21E  
  **Section:** 9  
  **¼ section:** [ ] NW  [ ] SW  [ ] SE  [ ] NE  
  [ ] Irregular-name:
- **Tax Parcel #:** 09252100400000004
- **Subdivision Name:** Lake Pasadena Land Compa
- **UTM Coordinates:** Zone 16  
  **Eastings:** 380370  
  **Easting:** 896005  
  **Northings:** 758120  
  **Lot:** 4  
  **Block:** 1
- **Name of Public Tract (e.g., park):**

---

**HISTORY**

- **Construction Year:** 1962  
  [ ] approximately  
  [ ] year listed or earlier  
  [ ] year listed or later
- **Original Use:** Private Residence (House/Cottage/Cabin)  
  **From (year):** 1962  
  **To (year):**
- **Current Use:**
- **Other Use:**
- **Moves:** [ ] yes  [ ] no  [ ] unknown  
  **Date:**
- **Alterations:** [ ] yes  [ ] no  [ ] unknown  
  **Date:**
- **Additions:** [ ] yes  [ ] no  [ ] unknown  
  **Date:**
- **Architect (last name first):** Unknown  
  **Builder (last name first):** Unknown
- **Owner History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.):** Malloy and Lena Pearson (1988)

---

**Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance?** [ ] yes  [ ] no  [ ] unknown  
**Describe:**

---

**DESCRIPTION**

- **Style:** Frame Vernacular
- **Exterior Fabric(s):**
  - 1. Wood siding
- **Roof Type(s):**
  - 1. Cross-gabled
- **Roof Material(s):**
  - 1. Composition shingles
- **Roof secondary struc. (dormers etc.):** 1
- **Windows (types, materials, etc.):** 6/6 aluminum SHS, individual and paired
- **Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments):** Wide eaves, shutters, car port, attic vents
- **Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.):** None visible

---

**DHR USE ONLY**  
**OFFICIAL EVALUATION**  
**DHR USE ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NR List Date</th>
<th>SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: [ ] yes  [ ] no  [ ] insufficient info</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Init.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Objection</td>
<td>KEEPER – Determined eligible: [ ] yes  [ ] no</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR Criteria for Evaluation: [ ] a  [ ] b  [ ] c  [ ] d (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DESCRIPTION (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimney: No.</th>
<th>Chimney Material(s): 1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural System(s):</td>
<td>Wood frame</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Type(s):</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Material(s):</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main Entrance (stylistic details) South elevation, single door

Porch Descriptions (types, roof types, etc.) South elevation, gabled-front, supported by simple wood posts, extends to the east to cover a car port.

Condition (overall resource condition): □ excellent □ good □ fair □ deteriorated □ ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

Typical Frame Vernacular style residence with original aluminum windows and a covered car port on its southeast elevation.

Archaeological Remains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porch Descriptions (types, roof types, etc.)</th>
<th>South elevation, gabled-front, supported by simple wood posts, extends to the east to cover a car port.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition (overall resource condition)</td>
<td>□ excellent □ good □ fair □ deteriorated □ ruinous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative Description of Resource</td>
<td>Typical Frame Vernacular style residence with original aluminum windows and a covered car port on its southeast elevation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESEARCH METHODS**

(check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- [ ] library research
- [ ] building permits
- [ ] Sanborn maps
- [ ] FL State Archives/photo collection
- [ ] city directory
- [ ] occupant/owner interview
- [ ] plat maps
- [ ] property appraiser / tax records
- [ ] newspaper files
- [ ] neighbor interview
- [ ] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- [ ] cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- [ ] historic photos
- [ ] interior inspection
- [ ] HABS/HAER record search
- [ ] other methods (describe) USDA Historic Aerial Photography

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

United States Department of Agriculture, accessible online through the Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM) at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

**OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE**

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [ ] yes [ ] no [ ] insufficient information

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [ ] yes [ ] no [ ] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

This is a typical example of the Frame Vernacular style found throughout Pasco County. Furthermore, limited research did not reveal any significant historical associations. Therefore, PA02902 does not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. Architecture 3. 5. 6.
2. 4. 6.

**DOCUMENTATION**

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document type</th>
<th>Document description</th>
<th>Maintaining organization</th>
<th>File or accession #’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>All materials at one location</td>
<td>Archaeological Consultants Inc</td>
<td>Fl14125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Field photographs, notes, maps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECORER INFORMATION**

Recorder Name | Jorge Danta | Affiliation | Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information
(address / phone / fax / e-mail) 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, Florida 34240

**Required Attachments**

1. USGS 7.5’ MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION PINPOINTED IN RED
2. LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3. PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, ARCHIVAL B&W PRINT OR DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

If submitting an image file, it must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.
Appendix B: Survey Log
Survey Log Sheet  
Florida Master Site File  
Version 4.1 1/07

Consult Guide to the Survey Log Sheet for detailed instructions.

Identification and Bibliographic Information

Survey Project (name and project phase)  
CRAS Update, SR 52 PD&E Study, Pasco County, Phase I

Report Title (exactly as on title page)  
Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Update Technical Memorandum, PD&E Study, SR 52 from East of McKendree Rd to East of US 301, Pasco County, Florida

Report Authors (as on title page, last names first)  
1. ACI  
2.  
3.  
4.  

Publication Date (year)  2015  
Total Number of Pages in Report (count text, figures, tables, not site forms)  47

Publication Information (Give series, number in series, publisher and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of American Antiquity.)  
ACI (2015) CRAS Update, SR 52 from East of McKendree Rd to East of US 301, Pasco County, FL. Conducted for FDOT District Seven, Tampa by ACI, Sarasota

Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author)  
Names  Deming, Joan

Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization  Archaeological Consultants Inc  
City  Sarasota

Key Words/Phrases (Don’t use county name, or common words like archaeology, structure, survey, architecture, etc.)  
1. SR 52  2. Clinton Ave.  3. Cannon Development  4.  5.  6.  7.  8.

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, organization or person directly funding fieldwork)  
Name  
Organization  Florida Dept of Transportation - District 7

Address/Phone/E-mail  11201 North McKinley Dr., Tampa, FL 33612-6456

Recorder of Log Sheet  Joan Deming  
Date Log Sheet Completed  1-22-2015

Is this survey or project a continuation of a previous project?  Yes

Previous survey #s (FMSF only)  

Mapping

Counties (List each one in which field survey was done; attach additional sheet if necessary)  
1. Pasco  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  

USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest Revision (attach additional sheet if necessary)  
1. Name  SAN ANTONIO  Year 1954  
2. Name  DADE CITY  Year 1960  
3. Name  Year  

Description of Survey Area

Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) hectares  91 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed  17

If Corridor (fill in one for each)  Width:  100 feet  Length:  6.00 miles
## Survey Log Sheet

### Research and Field Methods

#### Types of Survey (check all that apply):
- ☑ archaeological
- ☑ architectural
- ☑ historical/archival
- ☐ underwater

#### Scope/Intensity/Procedures
Background research; systematic archaeological testing at 25 & 50 m intervals, 50 cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen; historic resources documented; tech memo prepared

### Preliminary Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)
- ☐ Florida Archives [Gray Building]
- ☐ Florida Photo Archives [Gray Building]
- ☑ Site File property search
- ☐ Site File survey search
- ☐ other (describe):

### Archaeological Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)
- ☑ surface collection, controlled
- ☑ shovel test/1/4” screen
- ☑ shovel test/1/8” screen
- ☑ shovel test 1/16” screen
- ☑ shovel test unscreened
- ☐ other (describe):

### Historical/Architectural Methods (check as many as apply to the project as a whole)
- ☐ building permits
- ☐ commercial permits
- ☑ interior documentation
- ☐ other (describe):

### Survey Results (cultural resources recorded)

#### Site Significance Evaluated?
- ☑ Yes
- ☐ No

Count of Previously Recorded Sites 8

Count of Newly Recorded Sites 6

Previously Recorded Site #’s with Site File Update Forms (List site #’s without “8”. Attach additional pages if necessary.)
PA206, PA2217, PA2218, PA2783, PA2785, PA2786, PA2787, PA2788

Newly Recorded Site #’s (Are all originals and not updates? List site #’s without “8”. Attach additional pages if necessary.)
PA2897, PA2899, PA2900, PA2901, PA2902, PA2903

Site Forms Used:
- ☐ Site File Paper Form
- ☑ Site File Electronic Recording Form

***REQUIRED: ATTACH PLOT OF SURVEY AREA ON PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 1:24,000 MAP(S)***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHPO USE ONLY</th>
<th>SHPO USE ONLY</th>
<th>SHPO USE ONLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin of Report:</td>
<td>☑ 872 CARL UW 1A32 #</td>
<td>☐ Academic ☐ Contract ☐ Avocational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Project #:</td>
<td>☑ Compliance Review: CRAT #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview ☑ Excavation Report ☑ Multi-Site Excavation Report ☑ Structure Detailed Report ☐ Library, Hist. or Archival Doc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPS ☑ MRA ☐ IG ☐ Other:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Destination: Plotability:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

HR6E066R0107 Florida Master Site File, Division of Historical Resources, Gray Building, 500 South Bronough Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0250 Phone 850-245-6440, FAX 850-245-6439, Email: SiteFile@dos.state.fl.us
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USGS San Antonio 1954, PR 1988
Pasco County
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CRAS Update
SR 52 PD&E Study
From East of McKendree Road
To East of US 301
Pasco County
WPI Segment No: 435915-1